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#### Abstract

In this paper, we find all solutions of the Diophantine equation $x^{2}+2^{\alpha} 5^{\beta} 17^{\gamma}=y^{n}$ in positive integers $x, y \geq 1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, n \geq 3$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1$. Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 11D61; Secondary 11 Y 50 .
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## 1. Introduction

The interest for the diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}+C=y^{n}, \quad x \geq 1, \quad y \geq 1, \quad n \geq 3 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

started with a paper due to Lebesgue [18] and dating back to 1850, where he proved that the above equation has no solutions for $C=1$. More recently, other values of $C$ were considered. Tengely [24] solved the equation with $C=b^{2}$ and $3 \leq b \leq 501$. The case where $C=p^{k}$, a power of a prime number, was studied in $[7,16,17]$ for $p=2$, in $[5,6,19]$ for $p=3$, in $[1,2]$ for $p=5$, and in [22] for $p=7$. For arbitrary primes, some advances can be found in [4]. In [9], the cases with $1 \leq C \leq 100$ were completely solved. The solutions for the cases $C=2^{a} \cdot 3^{b}, C=2^{a} \cdot 5^{b}$ and $C=5^{a} \cdot 13^{b}$, when $x$ and $y$ are coprime, can be found in [3, 20, 21], respectively. Recent progress on the subject were made in the cases $C=5^{a} \cdot 11^{b}, C=2^{a} \cdot 11^{b}, C=2^{a} \cdot 3^{b} \cdot 11^{c}, C=2^{a} \cdot 5^{b} \cdot 13^{c}$ and can be found in $[10,11,12,14]$.

In this paper, we are interested in solving the Diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}+2^{\alpha} 5^{\beta} 17^{\gamma}=y^{n}, \operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1, x, y \geq 1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0, n \geq 3 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our result is the following.
Theorem 1.1. The equation (1.2) has no solution except for:
$n=3 \quad$ the solutions given in Table 1;
$n=4 \quad$ the solutions given in Table 2;
$n=5 \quad(x, y, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)=(401,11,1,3,0)$;

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
n=6 & (x, y, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)=(7,3,3,1,1),(23,3,3,2,0) \\
n=8 & (x, y, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)=(47,3,8,0,1),(79,3,6,1,0)
\end{array}
$$

One can deduce from the above result the following corollary.
Corollary 1.2. The equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}+5^{k} 17^{l}=y^{n}, \quad x \geq 1, \quad y \geq 1, \quad \operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1, \quad n \geq 3, \quad k \geq 0, l \geq 0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

has only the solution

$$
(x, y, k, l, n)=(94,21,2,1,3), \quad(2034,161,3,2,3),(8,3,0,1,4)
$$

Therefore, our work extends that of Pink and Rábai [23].

| $\alpha_{1}$ | $\beta_{1}$ | $\gamma_{1}$ | $z$ | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\gamma$ | $x$ | $y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | $2 \cdot 5$ | 7 | 6 | 0 | 383 | 129 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 5 |
| 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 5369 | 321 |
| 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 167589 | 3041 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | $2^{2}$ | 13 | 1 | 1 | 93 | 89 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1531 | 171 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 453 | 59 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 9 |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 63 | 19 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 59 | 21 |
| 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 5471 | 321 |
| 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 17052501 | 66251 |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 23 | 9 |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 17771 | 681 |
| 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 261 | 41 |
| 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 94 | 21 |
| 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 55157 | 1449 |
| 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 10763 | 489 |
| 3 | 3 | 1 | $2^{2}$ | 15 | 3 | 1 | 4617433 | 27729 |
| 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2034 | 161 |
| 3 | 3 | 5 | $2^{5}$ | 33 | 3 | 5 | 2037783243169 | 160733121 |
| 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 11 |
| 4 | 4 | 1 | $2 \cdot 5$ | 10 | 10 | 1 | 3274947 | 22169 |
| 5 | 4 | 2 | $2 \cdot 5$ | 11 | 10 | 2 | 699659581 | 788121 |
| 1 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 916769 | 9971 |
| 1 | 5 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 846227 | 14859 |
| 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 17579 | 681 |

TABLE 1. Solutions for $n=3$.

| $\alpha_{1}$ | $\beta_{1}$ | $\gamma_{1}$ | $z$ | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\gamma$ | $x$ | $y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | $2^{2}$ | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1087 | 33 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 3 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | $2^{2}$ | 8 | 0 | 1 | 47 | 9 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 5 |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 7 |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | $2^{2}$ | 11 | 0 | 1 | 495 | 23 |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 79 | 9 |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 409 | 21 |
| 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 511 | 33 |
| 1 | 0 | 3 | $2^{2}$ | 9 | 0 | 3 | 4785 | 71 |

Table 2. Solutions for $n=4$.

## 2. The case $n=3$

Lemma 2.1. When $n=3$, all the solutions to equation (1.2) are given in Table 1.

For $n=6$, we have $(x, y, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)=(7,3,3,1,1),(23,3,3,2,0)$.
Proof. Equation (1.2) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{x}{z^{3}}\right)^{2}+A=\left(\frac{y}{z^{2}}\right)^{3} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is sixth-power free and defined implicitly by $2^{\alpha} 5^{\beta} 17^{\gamma}=A z^{6}$. One can see that $A=2^{\alpha_{1}} 5^{\beta_{1}} 17^{\gamma_{1}}$ with $\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1}, \in\{0,1,2,3,4,5\}$. We thus get

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{2}=U^{3}-2^{\alpha_{1}} 5^{\beta_{1}} 17^{\beta_{1}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $U=y / z^{2}, V=x / z^{3}$ and $\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1} \in\{0,1,2,3,4,5\}$. We need to determine all the $\{2,5,17\}$-integral points on the above 216 elliptic curves. Recall that if $\mathcal{S}$ is a finite set of prime numbers, then an $\mathcal{S}$-integer is rational number $a / b$ with coprime integers $a$ and $b$, where the prime factors of $b$ are in $\mathcal{S}$. We use MAGMA [13] to determine all the $\{2,5,17\}$-integer points on the above elliptic curves. Here are a few remarks about the computations:

1. We eliminate the solutions with $U V=0$ because they yield to $x y=0$.
2. We consider only solutions such that the numerators of $U$ and $V$ are coprime.
3. If $U$ and $V$ are integers then $z=1$. So $\alpha_{1}=\alpha, \beta_{1}=\beta$, and $\gamma_{1}=\gamma$.
4. If $U$ and $V$ are rational numbers which are not integers, then $z$ is determined by the denominators of $U$ and $V$. The numerators of these rational numbers give $x$ and $y$. Then $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are computed knowing that $2^{\alpha} 5^{\beta} 17^{\gamma}=A z^{6}$.

Therefore, we first determine $\left(U, V, \alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)$ and then we use the relations

$$
U=\frac{y}{z^{2}}, \quad V=\frac{x}{z^{3}}, \quad 2^{\alpha} 5^{\beta} 17^{\gamma}=A z^{6}
$$

to find the solutions $(x, y, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ listed in Table 1.
For $n=6$, equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}+2^{\alpha} 5^{\beta} 17^{\gamma}=y^{6} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

becomes equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}+2^{\alpha} 5^{\beta} 17^{\gamma}=\left(y^{2}\right)^{3} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We look in the list of solutions of equation Table 1 and observe that the only solutions in Table 1 whose $y$ is a perfect square. Therefore, the only solutions to equation (1.2) for $n=6$ are the two solutions listed in Theorem 1.1. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1.

## 3. The case $n=4$

Here, we have the following result.
Lemma 3.1. If $n=4$, then the only solutions to equation (1.2) are given in Table 2.

If $n=8$, then the only solution to equation (1.2) is $(x, y, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)=$ $(47,3,8,0,1),(79,3,6,1,0)$.

Proof. Equation (1.2) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{x}{z^{2}}\right)^{2}+A=\left(\frac{y}{z}\right)^{4} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is fourth-power free and defined implicitly by $2^{\alpha} 5^{\beta} 17^{\gamma}=A z^{4}$. One can see that $A=2^{\alpha_{1}} 5^{\beta_{1}} 17^{\gamma_{1}}$ with $\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1} \in\{0,1,2,3\}$. Hence, the problem consists of determining the $\{2,5,17\}$-integer points on the totality of the 64 elliptic curves

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{2}=U^{4}-2^{\alpha_{1}} 5^{b_{1}} 17^{\gamma_{1}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $U=y / z, V=x / z^{2}$ and $\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1} \in\{0,1,2,3\}$. Here, we use again MAGMA [13] to determine the $\{2,5,17\}$-integer points on the above elliptic curves. As in Section 2, we first find ( $U, V, \alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1}$ ), and then using the coprimality conditions on $x$ and $y$ and the definition of $U$ and $V$, we determine all the corresponding solutions $(x, y, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ listed in Table 2.

Looking in the list of solutions of equation Table 2, we observe the 8 solutions in Table 2 whose values for $y$ are perfect squares. Thus, the only solutions to equation (1.2) with $n=8$ are those listed in Theorem 1.1. This concludes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

## 4. The case $n \geq 5$

The aim of this section is to determine all solutions of equation (1.2), for $n \geq 5$ and to prove its unsolubility for $n=7$ and $n \geq 9$. The cases when $n$ is of the form $2^{a} 3^{b}$ were treated in previous sections. So, apart from these cases, in order to prove that (1.2) has no solution for $n \geq 7$, it suffices to consider $n$ prime. In fact, if $(x, y, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, n)$ is a solution for (1.2) and $n=p k$, where $p \geq 7$ is prime and $k>1$, then $\left(x, y^{k}, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, p\right)$ is also a solution. So, from now on, $n$ will denote a prime number.

Lemma 4.1. The Diophantine equation (1.2) has no solution with $n \geq 5$ prime except for

$$
n=5 \quad(x, y, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)=(401,11,1,3,0)
$$

Proof. Let $(x, y, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, n)$ be a solution for (1.2). We claim that $y$ is odd. In fact, if $y$ is even and since $\operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1$, one has that $x$ is odd, and then $-2^{\alpha} 5^{\beta} 17^{\gamma} \equiv x^{2}-y^{n} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, but this contradicts the fact that $-2^{\alpha} 5^{\beta} 17^{\gamma} \equiv 0,2$ or $3(\bmod 4)$. Now, write equation (1.2) as $x^{2}+d z^{2}=y^{n}$, where

$$
d=2^{\alpha-2\lfloor\alpha / 2\rfloor} 5^{\beta-2\lfloor\beta / 2\rfloor} 17^{\gamma-2\lfloor\gamma / 2\rfloor},
$$

and $z=2^{\lfloor\alpha / 2\rfloor} 5^{\lfloor\beta / 2\rfloor} 17^{\lfloor\gamma / 2\rfloor}$. Since $x-2\lfloor x / 2\rfloor \in\{0,1\}$, we have

$$
d \in\{1,2,5,10,17,34,85,170\}
$$

We then factor the previous equation over $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{Q}[i \sqrt{d}]=\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-d}]$ as

$$
(x+i \sqrt{d} z)(x-i \sqrt{d} z)=y^{n}
$$

Now, we claim that the ideals $(x+i \sqrt{d} z) \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ and $(x-i \sqrt{d} z) \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ are coprime. If this is not the case, there must exist a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ containing these ideals. Therefore, $x \pm i \sqrt{d} z$ and $y^{n}$ (and so $y$ ) belong to $\mathfrak{p}$. Thus $2 x \in \mathfrak{p}$ and hence either 2 or $x$ belongs to $\mathfrak{p}$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(2, y)=\operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1$, then 1 belongs to the ideals $\langle 2, y\rangle$ and $\langle x, y\rangle$, then $1 \in \mathfrak{p}$ leading to an absurdity of $\mathfrak{p}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$. By the unique factorization of ideals, it follows that $(x+i \sqrt{d} z) \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}=$ $\mathfrak{j}^{n}$, for some ideal $\mathfrak{j}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$. Using the Mathematica command

$$
\text { NumberFieldClassNumber }[\text { Sqrt }[-d]]
$$

we obtain that the class number of $\mathbb{K}$ is either $1,2,4$ or 12 and so coprime to $n$, then $\mathfrak{j}$ is a principal ideal yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
x+i \sqrt{d} z=\varepsilon \eta^{n}, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\eta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ and $\varepsilon$ a unit of $\mathbb{K}$. Since the group of units of $\mathbb{K}$ is a subset of $\{ \pm 1, \pm i\}$ and $n$ is odd, then $\varepsilon$ is a $n$-th power. Thus, (4.1) can be reduced to $x+i \sqrt{d} z=\eta^{n}$. Since $\mathbb{K}$ is an imaginary quadratic field and $-d \not \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, then $\{1, i \sqrt{d}\}$ is an integral basis and we can write $\eta=u+i \sqrt{d} v$, for some integers $u$ and $v$. We then get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\eta^{n}-\bar{\eta}^{n}}{\eta-\bar{\eta}}=\frac{2^{\lfloor\alpha / 2\rfloor} 5^{\lfloor\beta / 2\rfloor} 17^{\lfloor\gamma / 2\rfloor}}{v} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, as usual, $\bar{w}$ denotes the complex conjugate of $w$.
Let $\left(L_{m}\right)_{m \geq 0}$ be the Lucas sequence given by

$$
L_{m}=\frac{\eta^{m}-\bar{\eta}^{m}}{\eta-\bar{\eta}}, \text { for } m \geq 0
$$

We recall that the Primitive Divisor Theorem for Lucas sequences ensures for primes $n \geq 5$, that there exists a primitive divisor for $L_{n}$, except for the finitely many (defective) pairs ( $\eta, \bar{\eta}$ ) given in Table 1 of [8] (a primitive divisor of $L_{n}$ is a prime that divides $L_{n}$ but does not divide $\left.(\eta-\bar{\eta})^{2} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} L_{j}\right)$. And a helpful property of a primitive divisor $p$ is that $p \equiv \pm 1(\bmod n)$.

For $n=5$, we find in Table 1 in [8] that $L_{5}$ has a primitive divisor except for $(u, d, v)=(1,10,1)$ which leads to a number $\eta=1+i \sqrt{10} \in \mathbb{Q}[i \sqrt{10}]$ ( $d=10$ is one of the possible values of $d$ described in the beginning of this proof), which gives the solution with $n=5$.

Apart from this case, let $p$ be a primitive divisor of $L_{n}, n \geq 7$. The identity (4.2) implies that $p \in\{2,5,17\}$ and so $p=17$, since $p \not \equiv \pm 1(\bmod n)$, for $p=2,5$. Hence, $n$ is a prime dividing $17 \pm 1$ and so $n=2$ or 3 which contradicts the fact that $n \geq 7$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.
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