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#### Abstract

Let $P(x), Q(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ be non-constant polynomials. We show the existence of algebraic numbers which can be written of the form $P(T)^{Q(T)}$, for some $T$ transcendental.


## 1. Introduction

When I was a high-school student, I liked writing rational numbers as "combination" of irrational ones, for instance

$$
2=\sqrt{\sqrt[3]{2+\sqrt{-121}}+\sqrt[3]{2-\sqrt{-121}}}=\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{2}}=\sqrt{3}^{\frac{\log 4}{\log 3}}=e^{\log 2}
$$

In particular, the last equality above shows us one way of writting the algebraic number 2 as power of two transcendental numbers. In 1934, the mathematicians A. O. Gelfond [2] and T. Schneider [3], proved the following well-known result: if $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \backslash\{0,1\}$ and $\beta \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \backslash \mathbb{Q}$, then $\alpha^{\beta}$ is a transcendental number. This result, named as Gelfond-Schneider theorem, classifies completely the arithmetic nature of the numbers of the form $A_{1}^{A_{2}}$, for $A_{1}, A_{2} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Returning to our subject, but now using Gelfond-Schneider theorem, we also can easily write 2 as $T^{T}$, for some $T$ transcendental. Actually, all prime number and all algebraic number $A \geq$ $e^{-1 / e}$, satisfying $A^{n} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ for all $n \geq 1$, can be written in this form, for a more general result see [4, Proposition 1]. Using again the Gelfond-Schneider theorem and Galois Theory, we show that for all non-constant polynomials $P(x), Q(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, there are infinitely many algebraic numbers which can be written in the particular "complicated" form $P(T)^{Q(T)}$, for some $T$ transcendental.

## 2. Main Result

Proposition. Fix non-constant polynomials $P(x), Q(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. Then the set of algebraic numbers of the form $P(T)^{Q(T)}$, with $T$ transcendental, is dense in some connected subset either of $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$.

As we said in Section 1, all algebraic numbers $A \geq e^{-1 / e}$ satisfying $A^{n} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ for all $n \geq 1$, can be written in the form $T^{T}$, for some $T \notin \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. An example of such $A$ is $1+\sqrt{2}$. But that is only one case of our Proposition, namely when $P(x)=Q(x)=x$. So for proving our result we need a stronger condition satisfied for an algebraic number $A$ and that is exactly what our next result asserts

[^0]Lemma. Let $Q(x)$ be a polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ and set $\mathcal{F}=\{Q(x)-d: d \in \mathbb{Q}\}$. Then there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{n} \notin \mathbb{Q}\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}\right), \text { for all } n \geq 1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ denotes the set $\{x \in \mathbb{C}: f(x)=0$ for some $f \in \mathcal{F}\}$.
Proof. Set $\mathcal{F}=\left\{F_{1}, F_{2}, \cdots\right\}$, and for each $n \geq 1$, set $K_{n}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\mathcal{R}_{F_{1} \cdots F_{n}}\right)$ and $\left[K_{n}: \mathbb{Q}\right]=t_{n}$. Since $K_{n} \subseteq K_{n+1}$, then $t_{n} \mid t_{n+1}$, for all $n \geq 1$. Therefore, there are integers $\left(m_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ such that $t_{n}=m_{n-1} \cdots m_{1} t_{1}$. Note that $K_{n+1}=K_{n}\left(\mathcal{R}_{F_{n+1}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{deg} F_{n+1}=\operatorname{deg} Q$. It follows that $\left[K_{n+1}: K_{n}\right] \leq(\operatorname{deg} Q)$ !. Because $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq$ $K_{n} \subseteq K_{n+1}$, we also have that $\frac{t_{n+1}}{t_{n}} \leq(\operatorname{deg} Q)$ ! for all $n \geq 1$. On the other hand $\frac{t_{n+1}}{t_{n}}=m_{n}$, so the sequence $\left(m_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded. Thus, we ensure the existence of a prime number $p>\max _{n \geq 1}\left\{m_{n}, t_{1}, 3\right\}$. Hence $p$ does not divide $t_{n}$, for $n \geq 1$. We pick a real number $\alpha$ that is a root of the irreducible polynomial $F(x)=x^{p}-4 x+2$ and we claim that $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$. Indeed, if this is not the case, then there exists a number $s \geq 1$, such that $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}\left(\mathcal{R}_{F_{1} \cdots F_{s}}\right)=K_{s}$. Since $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha): \mathbb{Q}]=p$, we would have that $p \mid t_{s}$, however this is impossible. Moreover, given $n \geq 1$, we have the field inclusions $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}\left(\alpha^{n}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. So $\left[\mathbb{Q}\left(\alpha^{n}\right): \mathbb{Q}\right]=1$ or $p$, but $\alpha^{n}$ can not be written as radicals over $\mathbb{Q}$, since that $F(x)$ is not solvable by radicals over $\mathbb{Q}$, see $[1, \mathrm{p}$. 189]. Hence $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)=\mathbb{Q}\left(\alpha^{n}\right)$ and then such $\alpha$ satisfies the condition (2.1).

Without referring the Lemma, we have the following special remarks:
Remark 1. If $\operatorname{deg} Q(x)=1$, then $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}\right)=\mathbb{Q}$. Therefore $\alpha=1+\sqrt{2}$ satisfies our desired condition (2.1).
Remark 2. More generally, if $\operatorname{deg} Q \leq 4$, then we take $\alpha$ one of the real roots of the polynomial $F(x)=x^{5}-4 x+2$. I assert that this $\alpha$ satisfies (2.1). In fact, note that all element of the field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$ is solvable by radicals (over $\left.\mathbb{Q}\right)$, on the other hand the Galois group of $F(x)=0$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is isomorphic to $S_{5}$ (the symmetric group), see $[1, \mathrm{p} .189]$. Hence if $\alpha^{n} \in \mathbb{Q}\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$, it would be expressed as radicals over $\mathbb{Q}$, but this can not happen.

Now we are able to prove our main result
Proof. (Proposition) Let us suppose that $P$ assumes a positive value. In this case, we have $0<P(x) \neq 1$ for some interval $(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, the function $f:(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, given by $f(x):=P(x)^{Q(x)}$ is well defined. Since $f$ is a non-constant and continue function, then $f((a, b))$ is a non-degenerate interval, say $(c, d)$. Now, take $\alpha$ as in the Lemma. Note that the set $\{\alpha Q: Q \in \mathbb{Q} \backslash\{0\}\}$ is dense in $(c, d)$. For a such $\alpha Q \in(c, d)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha Q=P(T)^{Q(T)} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $T \in(a, b)$. We must prove that $T$ is a transcendental number. Supposing the contrary, then $P(T)$ and $Q(T)$ are algebraic numbers. Since $P(T) \notin\{0,1\}$, then by Gelfond-Schneider theorem, we infer that $Q(T)=\frac{r}{s} \in \mathbb{Q}, s>0$. It follows that $T \in \mathcal{R}_{Q(x)-\frac{r}{s}} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$, so $P(T)^{r} \in \mathbb{Q}\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$. By $(2.2),(\alpha Q)^{s}=P(T)^{r}$, hence $\alpha^{s} \in \mathbb{Q}\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$, but that contradicts the Lemma.

For the case that $P(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we can consider a subinterval $(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathcal{R}_{P} \cap(a, b)=\emptyset$, therefore the proof follows the same idea. But in this case the image of $(a, b)$ under $f$ is a connected subset of $\mathbb{C}$ and our basic dense subset (in $\mathbb{C}$ ) is the set $\{\alpha Q: Q \in \mathbb{Q}(i) \backslash\{0\}\}$.
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