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#### Abstract

In 1981, F. Beukers used a hyper-geometric method for proving that the well-known generalized Ramanujan-Nagell equation $$
x^{2}+C=p^{n}, p \text { prime }
$$ has at most one solution in positive integers $x$ and $n$, where $C$ and $p$ are previously fixed, with a few exceptions.

In this note, we give an elementary proof of this result when $n$ is even as well as the complete solution of a such equation when $C$ is a power of 2009. Moreover, we prove that the previous result is surprisingly connected with the title equation which allows us to find all solutions for that equation.
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## 1 Introduction

The Diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}+C=y^{n}, \quad x \geq 1, \quad y \geq 1, \quad n \geq 3 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a rich history and it has attracted the attention of several mathematicians. Several papers have been written on this topic, specially for particular values of $C$. The first non-trivial result is due to Lebesgue [21] and date back to the 1850.

[^0]He proved that the above equation has no solutions for $C=1$. In 1965, Ko [18] proved that if $C=-1$, then the only solution is $(x, y, n)=(3,2,3)$. In 2004, Tengely [31] solved the above equation with $C=B^{2}$ and $B \in\{3,4, \ldots, 501\}$. The case when $C=p^{k}$, where $p$ is a prime number, was studied for $p=2$, in $[8,19,20]$ for $p=3$ in $[6,7,22]$, for $p=5$ in $[1,2]$ and for $p=7$ in [25]. Some advances on an arbitrary prime $p$ appear in [5]. The equations $x^{2}+C=y^{n}$ with $1 \leq C \leq 100$ were completely solved in [12]. Also, the solutions when $x$ and $y$ are coprime $C=2^{a} \cdot 3^{b}, C=2^{a} \cdot 5^{b}$ and $C=5^{a} \cdot 13^{b}$ can be found in $[23,24,3]$, respectively. The more recent progress on the subject concerns to cases $C=5^{a} \cdot 11^{b}, C=2^{a} \cdot 11^{b}, C=2^{a} \cdot 3^{b} \cdot 11^{c}$ and can be found in $[14,15,16]$.

Also, several authors become interested in the equation (1) when the variable $y$ is replaced by a positive integer number. The equation

$$
x^{2}+C=t^{n}
$$

where $C$ and $t$ are given integers, is called the generalized Ramanujan-Nagell equation. For instance, there is quite an extensive literature concerning the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}+C=p^{n}, p \text { prime } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

beginning for the case $C=7$ and $p=2$, which was posed in a work of Ramanujan [28, 29], in 1913 and first solved by Nagell [27] in 1948. The case $C=11$ and $p=3$ was treated by Cohen [13] in 1976. Consult its very extensive annotated bibliography for additional references and history. As a final remark, we point out that, in 1960, Apéry [4] showed that equation (2), when $p \nmid C$, has at most two solutions.

Here, we are particularly interested in solving the Diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
3^{2 n}-2 \cdot 3^{m}+1=k^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove that the possible solutions for the above equation are related to the solubility of the generalized Ramanujan-Nagell equation for $t=9$. Our first result is the following

Theorem 1. Let $C$ be a positive integer. Then the Diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}+C=3^{2 n} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

has at most one solution in positive integers $x$ and $n$.
It is important to pay attention that Eq. (4) has solution only when $C \equiv 0,2$ $(\bmod 3)$.

After, we shall combine two powerful techniques in number theory, namely, the Baker's theory on linear forms in logarithms and some tools from Diophantine approximation, due to Baker and Davenport to find a general method for solving the equation (4) for values of $C$ previously fixed. As application of it, we derive the following

Theorem 2. The Diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}+2009^{t}=3^{2 n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no solution in positive integers $x, t$ and $n$.
Finally, we prove
Theorem 3. The only solutions of the Diophantine equation

$$
3^{2 n}-2 \cdot 3^{m}+1=k^{2}
$$

in positive integers $m, n$ and $k$, are those related to $m=n$, i.e., $(n, m, k)=$ ( $n, n, 3^{n}-1$ ).

We point out that our method is quite general and can be applied by replacing 3 in the title equation by any odd prime number $p$.

## 2 The Diophantine equation $x^{2}+C=3^{2 n}$

### 2.1 The proof of Theorem 1

It is important to get noticed that Beukers [10, 11] proved that the equation (2) (and consequently Eq. (4)) has at most one solution except when $(p, C)=(3,2)$ or $\left(4 t^{2}+1,3 t^{2}+1\right)$, for a positive $t$. In all these exceptional cases, the pair $(x, n)=(1,1)$ is a direct solution and so Theorem 1 is according to Beukers result. He used refined techniques on hyper-geometric methods for proving these results.

Here we will present an elementary demonstration of the Theorem 1 which was discovered by Professor F. A. Germano who has communicated us his nice proof by e-mail.

Proof. Suppose that $x, y, m, n$ are positive integer numbers such that $x^{2}+$ $C=3^{2 m}$ and $y^{2}+C=3^{2 n}$. We shall show that $m=n$ and consequently $x=y$. First of all, we note that

$$
\left(3^{m}+x\right)\left(3^{m}-x\right)=C=\left(3^{n}+y\right)\left(3^{n}-y\right)
$$

Without losing any generality, we can suppose $\operatorname{gcd}(C, 3)=\operatorname{gcd}(x, 3)=1$. In fact, we have $x=3^{u} a, C=3^{v} b$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{N}, 3 \nmid a b, u$ and $v$ are nonnegative integer numbers. Hence

$$
x^{2}+C=3^{2 u} a^{2}+3^{v} b=3^{2 m}
$$

Of course, $2 m \geq \max \{2 u, v\}$. Set $\ell=\min \{2 u, v\}$, we have $\ell \leq 2 u, v \leq 2 m$ and $3^{\ell}\left(3^{2 u-\ell} a^{2}+3^{v-\ell} b\right)=3^{2 m}$. We then conclude that either $2 u=\ell=v$ or $3 \nmid\left(3^{2 u-\ell} a^{2}+3^{v-\ell} b\right)$. In the first case, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}+b=3^{2(m-u)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $m-u>0$ and whence it is enough to prove the theorem for the equation (6). In the second case, we infer that $1=3^{2 u-t} a^{2}+3^{v-t} b>1$ which is an absurd.

We have then $C=\left(3^{m}-x\right)\left(3^{m}+x\right)=r\left(2 \cdot 3^{m}-r\right)$, where $0<r=3^{m}-x<$ $3^{m}$. Thus, if $(x, m)$ is a solution of (4), we get an integer number $0<r<3^{m}$ such that $C=r\left(2 \cdot 3^{m}-r\right)$ and $3 \nmid r$. Therefore, for another solution $(y, n)$ of (4), there exists $0<s=3^{n}-y<3^{n}$ such that $h=s\left(2 \cdot 3^{n}-s\right)$ and $3 \nmid s$.

We claim that $m=n$. Towards a contradiction, we may suppose $n>m$ (the other case can be handled in much the same way). This implies that $C=s\left(2 \cdot 3^{n}-s\right)=r\left(2 \cdot 3^{m}-r\right)$ and then $0<s<r<3^{m}$. Therefore, $r$ and $s$ have the same parity, since $s^{2} \equiv r^{2}(\bmod 2)$. By considerations modulo $3^{m}$, it is easy to deduce that $s^{2} \equiv r^{2}\left(\bmod 3^{m}\right)$ and so $3^{m} \mid(r-s)(r+s)$. Recall that the numbers $r-s$ and $r+s$ can not be both multiples of 3 (otherwise $3 \mid r$ and $3 \mid s)$. It follows that $r \equiv \pm s\left(\bmod 3^{m}\right)$ which yields

$$
r \pm s \in\left\{\ldots,-3^{m+1},-2 \cdot 3^{m},-3^{m}, 0,3^{m}, 2 \cdot 3^{m}, 3^{m+1}, \ldots\right\}=3^{m} \mathbb{Z}
$$

Since $0<s<r<3^{m}$, we get $0<r \pm s<2 \cdot 3^{m}$ and therefore $r \pm s=3^{m}$, but this is an absurd because $r \pm s$ is even (keep in mind that $r$ and $s$ have the same parity). Thus $m=n$ as desired.

### 2.2 The proof of Theorem 2

### 2.2.1 Auxiliary results

Before proceeding further, we recall some results which will be very useful in what follows.

The main idea for proving the Theorem 2 is to use bounds à la Baker for a suitable linear form in three logarithms and then to deduce an upper bound on $t$. From the main result of Matveev [26], we extract the following result.

Lemma 1. Let $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ be real algebraic numbers and let $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}$ be nonzero integer rational numbers. Define

$$
\Lambda=b_{1} \log \alpha_{1}+b_{2} \log \alpha_{2}+b_{3} \log \alpha_{3}
$$

Let $D$ be the degree of the number field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ and let $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$ be real numbers which satisfy

$$
A_{j} \geq \max \left\{D h\left(\alpha_{j}\right),\left|\log \alpha_{j}\right|, 0.16\right\}, \text { for } j=1,2,3
$$

Assume that

$$
B \geq \max \left\{1, \max \left\{\left|b_{j}\right| A_{j} / A_{1} ; 1 \leq j \leq 3\right\}\right\}
$$

Define also

$$
C_{1}=6750000 \cdot e^{4}\left(20.2+\log \left(3^{5.5} D^{2} \log (e D)\right)\right)
$$

If $\Lambda \neq 0$, then

$$
\log |\Lambda| \geq-C_{1} D^{2} A_{1} A_{2} A_{3} \log (1.5 e D B \log (e D))
$$

As usual, in the previous statement, the logarithmic height of an s-degree algebraic number $\alpha$ is defined as

$$
h(\alpha)=\frac{1}{s}\left(\log |a|+\sum_{j=1}^{s} \log \max \left\{1,\left|\alpha^{(j)}\right|\right\}\right)
$$

where $a$ is the leading coefficient of the minimal polynomial of $\alpha$ (over $\mathbb{Z}$ ) and $\left(\alpha^{(j)}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq s}$ are the conjugates of $\alpha$.

After finding an upper bound on $t$ which is general too large, the next step is to reduce it. For this purpose, we need a variant of the famous BakerDavenport lemma, which is due to Dujella and Pethö [17]. For a real number $x$, we use $\|x\|=\min \{|x-n|: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ for the distance from $x$ to the nearest integer.

Lemma 2. Suppose that $M$ is a positive integer. Let $p / q$ be a convergent of the continued fraction expansion of the irrational number $\gamma$ such that $q>6 M$ and let $\epsilon=\|\mu q\|-M\|\gamma q\|$, where $\mu$ is a real number. If $\epsilon>0$, then there is no solution to the inequality

$$
0<m \gamma-n+\mu<A \cdot B^{-m}
$$

in positive integers $m, n$ with

$$
\frac{\log (A q / \epsilon)}{\log B} \leq m<M
$$

See Lemma 5, a.) in [17].
Now, we are ready to deal with the proof of our result.

### 2.2.2 The proof

Finding a bound on $k$
First, note that $t$ in the equation (5) must be odd, say $2 k+1$, because $x^{2} \equiv 0,1(\bmod 3)$ and $2009 \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$. So, equation $(5)$ can be rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
2009^{2 k+1}=\left(3^{n}-x\right)\left(3^{n}+x\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $3 \nmid x$ (because $3 \nmid 2009$ ), we get

$$
\left\{3^{n}-x, 3^{n}+x\right\}= \pm\left\{1,2009^{2 k+1}\right\}
$$

Hence, we may suppose that $3^{n}-x=1$ and $3^{n}+x=2009^{2 k+1}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \cdot 3^{n}-2009^{2 k+1}=1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We point out that the above equation has no solution when $n=2 k+1$. This fact is an immediate consequence of a result due to Bennett [9]: for any positive integer $a$, the equation

$$
(a+1) x^{n}-a y^{n}=1, \text { in integers } x \geq 1, y \geq 1, n \geq 3
$$

has no solution other than given by $x=y=1$.
For the remaining cases $(n \neq 2 k+1)$, we shall use bounds for linear forms in three logarithms of algebraic numbers (for more details on transcendental methods to Diophantine equations we refer the reader to [30]).

First, on dividing Eq. (8) through by $2009^{2 k+1}$, we get

$$
2 \cdot 3^{n} \cdot 2009^{-(2 k+1)}-1=2009^{-(2 k+1)}
$$

Let $\Lambda=(2 k+1) \log (1 / 2009)-n \log (1 / 3)+\log 2$, then the previous equality becomes $e^{\Lambda}-1=2009^{-(2 k+1)}>0$ and so $\Lambda>0$. Therefore $\Lambda<e^{\Lambda}-1=$ $2009^{-(2 k+1)}$ which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \Lambda<-(2 k+1) \log 2009 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we will apply Lemma 1. Take

$$
\alpha_{1}=1 / 2009, \alpha_{2}=1 / 3, \alpha_{3}=2, b_{1}=2 k+1, b_{2}=-n, b_{3}=1
$$

Observe that $\mathbb{Q}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right)=\mathbb{Q}$ and then $D=1$. Surely, we can take $A_{1}=$ $\log 2009, A_{2}=\log 3$ and $A_{3}=\log 2$.

Note that

$$
\max \left\{1, \max \left\{\left|b_{j}\right| A_{j} / A_{1} ; 1 \leq j \leq 3\right\}\right\}=\max \{2 k+1, n \log 3 / \log 2009\}
$$

and then it suffices to choose $B=2 k+1$ as
$2 \cdot 3^{n}=2009^{2 k+1}+1<2 \cdot 2009^{2 k+1}$ and then $n \log 3<(2 k+1) \log 2009$.
Since, for $D=1$, it holds that $C_{1}<9.7 \cdot 10^{9}$, Lemma 1 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log |\Lambda|>-56.2 \cdot 10^{9} \log (4.08(2 k+1)) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the estimates (9) and (10), we get

$$
56.2 \cdot 10^{9} \log (4.08(2 k+1))>(2 k+1) \log 2009
$$

and this inequality implies $k<2 \cdot 10^{11}$ (for the sake of preciseness $k<$ 101389315227).

## Reducing the bound

Since $0<\Lambda<2009^{-2 k-1}$, we have that

$$
0<(2 k+1) \log \alpha_{1}-n \log \alpha_{2}+\log \alpha_{3}<2009^{-2 k}
$$

On dividing through by $\log \alpha_{2}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<(2 k+1) \gamma-n+\mu<2009^{-2 k} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\gamma=\log \alpha_{1} / \log \alpha_{2}$ and $\mu=\log \alpha_{3} / \log \alpha_{2}$.
Surely $\gamma$ is an irrational number ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (because 2009 and 3 are multiplicatively independent). So, let us denote $p_{\ell} / q_{\ell}$ be the $\ell$ th convergent of its continued fraction.

In order to reduce our bound on $k$ (which is too large!), we will use the Lemma 2.

For that, take $M=2 \cdot 10^{11}$. Since

$$
\frac{p_{27}}{q_{27}}=\frac{24782374449400}{3579857528251}
$$

[^1]then $q_{27} \geq 3579857528251>1.2 \cdot 10^{12}=6 M$. Moreover, a straight calculation gives
$$
M\left\|q_{27} \gamma\right\|=0.02760 \ldots<0.02
$$
and
$$
\left\|q_{27} \mu\right\|=0.33016 \ldots>0.34
$$

Hence

$$
\epsilon=\left\|\mu q_{27}\right\|-M\left\|\gamma q_{27}\right\|>0.34-0.02=0.32
$$

Thus all the hypotheses of the Lemma 2 are satisfied with $A=1$ and $B=2009^{2}$. It follows from that lemma that there is no solution of the Diophantine equation (7) in the range

$$
\left[\left\lfloor\frac{\log \left(A q_{27} / \epsilon\right)}{\log B}\right\rfloor+1, M\right]=\left[115,2 \cdot 10^{11}\right]
$$

For the remaining possibilities (that is $k<115$ ), we define a function $\mathcal{T}$ : $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
\mathcal{T}(s):=\frac{\log \left(\frac{2009^{2 s+1}+1}{2}\right)}{\log 3}
$$

Thus in view of the relation in (8), if the equation (7) has solution for a certain $k$, then $\mathcal{T}(k)$ must be an integer number. To finish, we use Mathematica to print all the values of this function, for $1 \leq k \leq 114$. This task took less than one second on a 1.86 GHz Pentium Core Duo. Finally, we convince ourselves that $\mathcal{T}(k)$ is never an integer number in the obtained range. This completes the proof.

## 3 The proof of Theorem 3

Note that if $m=n$, then $3^{2 n}-2 \cdot 3^{n}+1=\left(3^{n}-1\right)^{2}$. If $k$ is positive, then $(n, m, k)=\left(n, n, 3^{n}-1\right)$ is solution for (3) for all positive integer $n$. Our goal is to prove that this one is the only possibility.

For that, in order to facilitate our work, we shall denote $\delta_{m, n}=3^{2 n}-2 \cdot 3^{m}+1$ and let $m, n, k$ be positive integer numbers such that $\delta_{m, n}=k^{2}$. First, take $p=3^{n}+k$ and $q=3^{n}-k$. So, we have $p>q \geq 1, p+q=2 \cdot 3^{n}$ and $p q=2 \cdot 3^{m}-1$. Now, if $x=3^{m}-1$ and $y=3^{n}-q=k$, we get

$$
x=3^{m}-1=p q-3^{m} \text { and } y=3^{n}-q=p-3^{n}=k
$$

yielding

$$
\left(3^{m}+x\right)\left(3^{m}-x\right)=p q=\left(3^{n}+y\right)\left(3^{n}-y\right)
$$

Thus $(x, n)$ and $(y, m)$ are solutions of the equation (4) with $C=p q$. Hence we apply the Theorem 1 to get $m=n$ and this completes our proof.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Actually, this number is transcendental by Gelfond-Schneider theorem: if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are algebraic numbers, with $\alpha \neq 0$ or 1 , and $\beta$ irrational, then $\alpha^{\beta}$ is transcendental.

