NEW ESTIMATES FOR THE SCALAR CURVATURE OF COMPLETE MINIMAL HYPERSURFACES IN \mathbb{S}^4

A. C. Asperti R. M. B. Chaves L. A. M. Sousa, Jr.

Dedicated to Professor Manfredo do Carmo on the occasion of his 80th birthday

Abstract

Let M^3 be a complete minimal hypersurface immersed in the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^4 . In this paper, starting from hypotheses on the Gauss-Kronecker curvature we obtain estimates for the scalar curvature of M^3 .

1 Introduction

Denote by \mathbb{S}^N the N-dimensional unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} . Let M^n be an *n*-dimensional submanifold minimally immersed in \mathbb{S}^{n+p} . Denote by R the scalar curvature of M^n and by S the square of the length of the second fundamental form of M^n . In his celebrated paper, J. Simons [6] obtained the following inequality for the Laplacian of S

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta S \ge S\left(n - \left(2 - \frac{1}{p}\right)S\right). \tag{1.1}$$

As an application of (1.1), Simons proved that if M^n is closed then either M^n is totally geodesic, or $S = \frac{n}{2-1/p}$, or $\sup S > \frac{n}{2-1/p}$. In this paper we prove an inequality similar to that of Simons given above for complete minimal

prove an inequality similar to that of Simons given above for complete minimal hypersurfaces in \mathbb{S}^4 .

Theorem 1.1. Let M^3 be a complete minimal hypersurface in \mathbb{S}^4 . Let K be the Gauss-Kronecker curvature of M^3 . If S is bounded and |K| is bounded away from zero, then $\inf S \leq 3 \leq \sup S$.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C50. Secondary 53C42.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ Complete minimal hypersurfaces, scalar curvature, Gauss-Kronecker curvature.

The inequality $\sup S \geq 3$ is a particular case of one stablished by Cheng in [1] that extended Simons' result, for complete submanifolds. We point out that, although for p = 1 the sharp estimate $S \geq n$ was due to Simons, the characterization of the hypersurfaces satisfying S = n was obtained independently by Chern, Do Carmo and Kobayashi [2] and Lawson [3]. Up to now, it is not known if there exist complete minimal hypersurfaces satisfying $\sup S = n$ and that are not congruent to the Clifford tori $\mathbb{S}^k\left(\sqrt{\frac{k}{n}}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-k}\left(\sqrt{\frac{n-k}{n}}\right)$.

By the fact that R = 6 - S, in case n = 3, see (2.4), we immediately obtain the following consequence of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.1. Let M^3 be a complete minimal hypersurface in \mathbb{S}^4 . If R is bounded and |K| is bounded away from zero, then $\inf R \leq 3 \leq \sup R$.

Remark 1.1. By using similar arguments to the ones used in this paper, the authors already obtained a classification of complete minimal hypersurfaces with constant Gauss-Kronecker curvature in a four dimensional space form. The results will appear in a forthcoming paper.

2 Preliminaries and Notations

Let M^3 be a 3-dimensional hypersurface in a unit sphere \mathbb{S}^4 . We choose a local orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, \ldots, e_4\}$ in \mathbb{S}^4 , so that, restricted to M^3 , e_1, e_2, e_3 are tangent to M^3 . Let $\{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_4\}$ denote the dual co-frame field in \mathbb{S}^4 . We use the following convention for the range of the indices: A, B, C, D range from 1 to 4 and i, j, k range from 1 to 3. The structure equations of \mathbb{S}^4 are given by

$$d\omega_A = -\sum_B \omega_{AB} \wedge \omega_B, \quad \omega_{AB} + \omega_{BA} = 0,$$

$$d\omega_{AB} = -\sum_C \omega_{AC} \wedge \omega_{CB} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{C,D} K_{ABCD} \ \omega_C \wedge \omega_D$$

where $K_{ABCD} = \delta_{AC} \delta_{BD} - \delta_{AD} \delta_{BC}$ is the curvature tensor of \mathbb{S}^4 . Since $\omega_4 = 0$

on M^3 , by Cartan's Lemma we have

$$\omega_{4i} = \sum_{j} h_{ij} \omega_j, \ h_{ij} = h_{ji} \ . \tag{2.1}$$

We call $h = \sum_{i,j} h_{ij} \omega_i \omega_j$, the eigenvalues λ_i of the matrix (h_{ij}) , $H = \sum_i h_{ii} = \sum_i \lambda_i$ and $K = \det(h_{ij}) = \prod_i \lambda_i$, respectively, the second fundamental form, the principal curvatures, the mean curvature and the Gauss-Kronecker curvature of M^3 .

The structure equations of M^3 are given by

$$d\omega_i = -\sum_j \omega_{ij} \wedge \omega_j, \quad \omega_{ij} + \omega_{ji} = 0,$$

$$d\omega_{ij} = -\sum_k \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,\ell} R_{ijk\ell} \omega_k \wedge \omega_\ell.$$

Using the formulas above we obtain the Gauss equation

$$R_{ijk\ell} = K_{ijk\ell} + h_{ik}h_{j\ell} - h_{i\ell}h_{jk}.$$
(2.2)

We recall that M^3 is a *minimal* hypersurface if its mean curvature is identically zero. From now on, we assume that M^3 is minimal. In this situation, its Ricci curvature tensor and scalar curvature are given, respectively, by

$$R_{ij} = 2\delta_{ij} - \sum_{k} h_{ik} h_{jk},\tag{2.3}$$

$$R = 6 - S$$
, where $S = \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^2$ is the squared norm of h . (2.4)

It follows from (2.4) that R is constant if and only if S is constant.

The covariant derivative ∇h of the second fundamental form h of M^3 with components h_{ijk} is given by

$$\sum_{k} h_{ijk}\omega_k = dh_{ij} + \sum_{k} h_{jk}\omega_{ik} + \sum_{k} h_{ik}\omega_{jk}$$

Then the exterior derivative of (2.1) together with the structure equations yields the Codazzi equation

$$h_{ijk} = h_{ikj} = h_{jik}. (2.5)$$

Hence h_{ijk} is symmetric on the indices i, j, k.

Similarly, we have the second covariant derivative $\nabla^2 h$ of h with components $h_{ijk\ell}$ as follows

$$\sum_{\ell} h_{ijk\ell} \omega_{\ell} = dh_{ijk} + \sum_{\ell} h_{\ell jk} \omega_{i\ell} + \sum_{\ell} h_{i\ell k} \omega_{j\ell} + \sum_{\ell} h_{ij\ell} \omega_{k\ell}$$

For any fixed point p on M^3 , we can choose a local orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ such that

$$h_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}.$$

The following formulas can be found in Peng and Terng [5].

$$h_{ijij} - h_{jiji} = (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)(1 + \lambda_i \lambda_j).$$
(2.6)

$$\Delta h_{ij} = (3 - S)h_{ij}. \tag{2.7}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta S = \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 + (3-S)S.$$
(2.8)

The proof of our results relies heavily on the well known *Generalized Maximum Principle* due to H. Omori [4].

Lemma 2.1. Let M^n be an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold whose sectional curvature is bounded from below and $f: M^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function which is bounded from above on M^n . Then there is a sequence of points $\{p_k\}$ in M^n such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} f(p_k) = \sup f; \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} |\nabla f(p_k)| = 0 \text{ and}$$
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \max\{(Hess_f(p_k))(X, X) : |X| = 1\} \le 0,$$

where $Hess_f$ denotes the Hessian of f.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

The inequality $\sup S \ge 3$ is a particular case of the one stablished by Cheng in [1]. For reader's convenience we shall prove it here. Let us assume on the contrary that $\sup S < 3$. As S is bounded from (2.2) we see that the sectional curvatures are bounded from below. So, by using Lemma 2.1 we obtain a sequence $\{p_k\}$ of points in M^3 such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} S(p_k) = \sup S; \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} |\nabla S(p_k)| = 0$$

and
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sup(S_{ii}(p_k)) \le 0.$$
 (3.1)

By evaluating (2.8) at p_k and taking the limit for $k \to \infty$, from (3.1) we arrive to

$$\sup S(3 - \sup S) \le \limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} \Delta S(p_k) \le \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \limsup_{k \to \infty} S_{ii}(p_k) \le 0.$$
(3.2)

This implies that $\sup S = 0$, i.e., M^3 is totally geodesic which contradicts our hypothesis that |K| is bounded away from zero. Hence, we have $\sup S \ge 3$.

Now let us prove the inequality $\inf S \leq 3$. As K does not vanish, the function $F = \log |\det(h_{ij})|$ is globally defined on M^3 and is smooth. For any fixed point $p \in M^3$ we can take a local orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ such that $h_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$ at p. According to Peng-Terng (see [5] pp 15) the Laplacian of F is given by

$$\Delta F = -\sum_{ijk} \frac{1}{\lambda_i \lambda_j} h_{ijk}^2 + \sum_{ik} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} h_{iikk}.$$
(3.3)

Since M^3 is minimal, we have $\sum_k h_{kkii} = H_{ii} = 0$, for all *i*. Together with (2.6) this gives

$$\sum_{ik} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} h_{iikk} = \sum_{ik} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \left[h_{kkii} + (\lambda_i - \lambda_k)(1 + \lambda_i \lambda_k) \right] = \sum_{ik} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} (\lambda_i - \lambda_k)(1 + \lambda_i \lambda_k) = 3(3 - S) = -3(S - 3).$$
(3.4)

Notice that *Codazzi equation* (2.5) yields

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_i \lambda_j} h_{ijk}^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda_j \lambda_i} h_{jik}^2.$$

Then the coefficient of h_{123}^2 in $\sum_{ijk} \frac{1}{\lambda_i \lambda_j} h_{ijk}^2$ can be given by

$$2\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1\lambda_2} + \frac{1}{\lambda_1\lambda_3} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2\lambda_3}\right) = \frac{2H}{K} = 0$$

and we may write

$$\sum_{ijk} \frac{1}{\lambda_i \lambda_j} h_{ijk}^2 = \sum_i \sum_{\substack{j \neq i, k \neq i, j < k}} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} h_{iii}^2 + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j^2} + \frac{2}{\lambda_i \lambda_j} \right) h_{jji}^2 + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_k^2} + \frac{2}{\lambda_i \lambda_k} \right) h_{kki}^2 \right].$$

$$(3.5)$$

Let i, j, k be pairwise distinct indices. Bearing in mind that M^3 is minimal, we have $\lambda_i + \lambda_j = -\lambda_k$, $\lambda_i + \lambda_k = -\lambda_j$ and $h_{iii} = -(h_{jji} + h_{kki})$ which implies

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}^{2}}h_{iii}^{2} + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{j}^{2}} + \frac{2}{\lambda_{i}\lambda_{j}}\right)h_{jji}^{2} + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{k}^{2}} + \frac{2}{\lambda_{i}\lambda_{k}}\right)h_{kki}^{2} =
\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}^{2}}(h_{jji} + h_{kki})^{2} + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{j}^{2}} + \frac{2}{\lambda_{i}\lambda_{j}}\right)h_{jji}^{2} + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{k}^{2}} + \frac{2}{\lambda_{i}\lambda_{k}}\right)h_{kki}^{2} =
\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}^{2}} + \frac{2}{\lambda_{i}\lambda_{j}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_{j}^{2}}\right)h_{jji}^{2} + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}^{2}} + \frac{2}{\lambda_{i}\lambda_{k}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_{k}^{2}}\right)h_{kki}^{2} +
\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}^{2}} + \frac{2}{\lambda_{i}\lambda_{j}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_{j}^{2}}\right)h_{jji}^{2} + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}^{2}} + \frac{2}{\lambda_{i}\lambda_{k}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_{k}^{2}}\right)h_{kki}^{2} +
\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}^{2}} + \frac{2}{\lambda_{i}^{2}}h_{jji}h_{kki} = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_{j}}\right)^{2}h_{jji}^{2} + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_{k}}\right)^{2}h_{kki}^{2} + \frac{2}{\lambda_{i}^{2}}h_{jji}h_{kki} =
\frac{\lambda_{k}^{2}}{\lambda_{i}^{2}\lambda_{j}^{2}}h_{jji}^{2} + \frac{\lambda_{j}^{2}}{\lambda_{i}^{2}\lambda_{k}^{2}}h_{kki}^{2} + \frac{2}{\lambda_{i}^{2}}h_{jji}h_{kki} = \frac{1}{K^{2}}\left(\lambda_{k}^{2}h_{jji} + \lambda_{j}^{2}h_{kki}\right)^{2}.$$
(3.6)

Inserting (3.6) into (3.5) we obtain

$$\sum_{ijk} \frac{1}{\lambda_i \lambda_j} h_{ijk}^2 = \frac{1}{K^2} \left[\left(\lambda_3^2 h_{221} + \lambda_2^2 h_{331} \right)^2 + \left(\lambda_3^2 h_{112} + \lambda_1^2 h_{332} \right)^2 + \left(\lambda_2^2 h_{113} + \lambda_1^2 h_{223} \right)^2 \right].$$
(3.7)

It follows from (3.3), (3.4) and (3.7) that

16

$$\Delta F = -\frac{1}{K^2} \left[\left(\lambda_3^2 h_{221} + \lambda_2^2 h_{331} \right)^2 + \left(\lambda_3^2 h_{112} + \lambda_1^2 h_{332} \right)^2 + \left(\lambda_2^2 h_{113} + \lambda_1^2 h_{223} \right)^2 \right] - 3(S-3).$$
(3.8)

As S is bounded, we have already seen that the sectional curvatures of M^3 are bounded from below. Further, since |K| is bounded away from zero, $F = \log |\det(h_{ij})|$ is bounded from below, so we may apply the *Generalized Maximum Principle due to Omori* to the function F to obtain a sequence $\{p_k\}$ of points in M^3 such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} F(p_k) = \inf F; \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} |\nabla F(p_k)| = 0$$

and
$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} (F_{ii}(p_k)) \ge 0.$$
 (3.9)

In view of (3.8) we get the inequality

$$\Delta F \le -3(S-3). \tag{3.10}$$

Evaluating (3.10) at $\{p_k\}$ and making $k \to \infty$, from (3.9) we obtain

$$0 \le \sum_{i} \liminf_{k \to \infty} F_{ii}(p_k) \le \Delta F \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} 3(3 - S(p_k)).$$
(3.11)

From (3.11) we deduce that $\inf S \leq 3$, which completes our proof.

Remark 3.1. We would like to emphasize that the hypothesis that |K| is bounded away from zero cannot be dropped, as shows the following example.

Example 3.1. The hypersurface M^3 in \mathbb{S}^4 defined by the equation

$$2x_5^3 + 3(x_1^2 + x_2^2)x_5 - 6(x_3^2 + x_4^2)x_5 + 3\sqrt{3}(x_1^2 - x_2^2)x_4 + 6\sqrt{3}x_1x_2x_3 = 2$$

was investigated by E. Cartan, who proved that this space is a homogeneous Riemannian manifold $SO(3)/(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and that its principal curvatures are $-\sqrt{3}, 0, \sqrt{3}$. Therefore, M^3 has $\inf S = S = 6$.

References

- Cheng, Q. M., A characterization of complete Riemannian manifolds minimally immersed in the unit sphere, Nagoya Math. J., 131 (1993), 127-133.
- [2] Chern, S. S.; do Carmo, M.; Kobayashi, S., Minimal submanifolds of the sphere with second fundamental form of constant length, Functional Analysis and Related Fields (F. Browder, ed.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1970).
- [3] Lawson, Jr., H. B., Local rigidity theorems for minimal hypersurfaces, Ann. Math., 89 (1969), 167-179.
- [4] Omori, H., Isometric Immersions of Riemannian manifolds, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 19 (1967), 205-214.
- [5] Peng, C. K.; Terng, C. L., Minimal hypersurfaces of spheres with constant scalar curvature, Ann. of Math. Stud., 103 (1983), 177-198.
- [6] Simons, J., Minimal varieties in Riemannian manifolds, Ann. Math., 88 (1968), 62-105.

Instituto de Matemática e Estatística Univ. de São Paulo Rua do Matão, 1010 05508-090, São Paulo-SP, Brazil *E-mail*: asperti@ime.usp.br *E-mail*: rosab@ime.usp.br Departamento de Matemática e Estatística Univ. Federal do Estado do Rio de Janeiro Avenida Pasteur, 458, Urca 22290-240, Rio de Janeiro-RJ, Brazil *E-mail*: amancio@impa.br