

Principal Typings in a Restricted Intersection Type System for Beta Normal Forms with de Bruijn Indices

Daniel L. Ventura^{1,2} & Mauricio Ayala-Rincón¹ & Fairouz D. Kamareddine²

¹Grupo de Teoria da Computação - GTC/UnB
Universidade de Brasília - UnB, Brasil

²ULTRA Group
Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh, Scotland

Research supported by the Brazilian Research Council - CNPq

9th International Workshop on Reduction Strategies in Rewriting and Programming
June 28, Brasília, 2009

Talk's Plan

Motivation

- Intersection types

- Principal typings

- λ -calculus with nameless dummies

λ_{dB} : the λ -calculus with de Bruijn indices

- Syntax of λ_{dB}

- β -reduction in λ_{dB}

The restricted intersection type system for λ_{dB}

- Restricted intersection types in λ_{dB}

- Typing systems and properties

- Type inference algorithm

Characterisation of principal typings

- Characterising principal typings

- Reconstruction algorithm

Conclusion, current and future work

Intersection type discipline

- ▶ Introduced by M. Coppo and M. Dezani-Ciancaglini. [CDC78, CDC80]
- ▶ It incorporates type polymorphism in a finitary way:

$$\lambda_x.x : (int \rightarrow int) \wedge (bool \rightarrow bool)$$

- ▶ IT called after realisability semantics interpretation of types
- ▶ Characterisation of the SN terms of the λ -calculus. [Pot80]
- ▶ Some problems arise such as the necessity for a practical treatment of *principal typings*.

Intersection type discipline

- ▶ Introduced by M. Coppo and M. Dezani-Ciancaglini. [CDC78, CDC80]
- ▶ It incorporates type polymorphism in a finitary way:

$$\lambda_x.x : (int \rightarrow int) \wedge (bool \rightarrow bool)$$

- ▶ IT called after realisability semantics interpretation of types
- ▶ Characterisation of the SN terms of the λ -calculus. [Pot80]
- ▶ Some problems arise such as the necessity for a practical treatment of *principal typings*.

Intersection type discipline

- ▶ Introduced by M. Coppo and M. Dezani-Ciancaglini. [CDC78, CDC80]
- ▶ It incorporates type polymorphism in a finitary way:

$$\lambda_x.x : (int \rightarrow int) \wedge (bool \rightarrow bool)$$

- ▶ IT called after realisability semantics interpretation of types
- ▶ Characterisation of the SN terms of the λ -calculus. [Pot80]
- ▶ Some problems arise such as the necessity for a practical treatment of *principal typings*.

Intersection type discipline

- ▶ Introduced by M. Coppo and M. Dezani-Ciancaglini. [CDC78, CDC80]
- ▶ It incorporates type polymorphism in a finitary way:

$$\lambda_x.x : (int \rightarrow int) \wedge (bool \rightarrow bool)$$

- ▶ IT called after realisability semantics interpretation of types
- ▶ Characterisation of the SN terms of the λ -calculus. [Pot80]
- ▶ Some problems arise such as the necessity for a practical treatment of *principal typings*.

Intersection type discipline

- ▶ Introduced by M. Coppo and M. Dezani-Ciancaglini. [CDC78, CDC80]
- ▶ It incorporates type polymorphism in a finitary way:

$$\lambda_x.x : (int \rightarrow int) \wedge (bool \rightarrow bool)$$

- ▶ IT called after realisability semantics interpretation of types
- ▶ Characterisation of the SN terms of the λ -calculus. [Pot80]
- ▶ Some problems arise such as the necessity for a practical treatment of *principal typings*.

Principal typings

Let $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ be a type judgement in some type system S

- ▶ $\langle \Gamma \vdash \tau \rangle$ is a typing of M in S , written as $M : \langle \Gamma \vdash_S \tau \rangle$.
- ▶ $\langle \Gamma \vdash \tau \rangle$ is a **principal typing** (PT) of M if $M : \langle \Gamma \vdash_S \tau \rangle$ and it “represents” any other possible typing of M .
- ▶ PT property allows *compositional* type inference

λ -calculus with de Bruijn indices

- ▶ Invented by N.G. de Bruijn [dB72].
- ▶ Own the same properties as the λ -calculus with names.
- ▶ Each α -class of λ -terms corresponds to a unique term.
- ▶ Plays an important role in the implementation of programming languages and theorem provers. [Kam03]
- ▶ A variety of IT systems has been studied, usually with variable names and rarely with de Bruijn indices.

Definition (Set Λ_{dB})

The set of λ_{dB} -terms

Terms $M ::= \underline{n} \mid (M M) \mid \lambda.M$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_* = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$

Examples

$\lambda.(\lambda.(\underline{1} \ \underline{4} \ \underline{2}) \ \underline{1})$

$\lambda.\underline{1} \simeq \lambda x.x \simeq \lambda y.y$

Remark: β and η are defined updating indices accordingly.

Definition (Set Λ_{dB})

The set of λ_{dB} -terms

Terms $M ::= \underline{n} \mid (M M) \mid \lambda.M$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_* = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$

Examples

$\lambda.(\lambda.(\underline{1} \ \underline{4} \ \underline{2}) \ \underline{1})$

$\lambda.\underline{1} \simeq \lambda x.x \simeq \lambda y.y$

Remark: β and η are defined updating indices accordingly.

Definition (Free indices & closed terms)

1. $FI(M)$ is the set of **free indices** of M , defined by

$$\begin{aligned}FI(\underline{n}) &= \{\underline{n}\} \\FI(\lambda.M) &= \{\underline{n-1}, \forall \underline{n} \in FI(M), n > 1\} \\FI(M_1 M_2) &= FI(M_1) \cup FI(M_2)\end{aligned}$$

2. M is **closed** if $FI(M) = \emptyset$.
3. $sup(M)$ is the greatest value of a free index in M .

Definition (*i*-lift)

M^{+i} is defined inductively as

$$1. (M_1 M_2)^{+i} = (M_1^{+i} M_2^{+i})$$

$$2. (\lambda.M_1)^{+i} = \lambda.M_1^{+(i+1)}$$

$$3. \underline{n}^{+i} = \begin{cases} \underline{n+1}, & \text{if } n > i \\ \underline{n}, & \text{if } n \leq i. \end{cases}$$

The **lift** M^+ of M is its 0-lift.

Definition (β -substitution)

The β -**substitution** $\{\underline{n}/N\}M$ is defined inductively by

1. $\{\underline{n}/N\}(M_1 M_2) = (\{\underline{n}/N\}M_1 \{\underline{n}/N\}M_2)$
2. $\{\underline{n}/N\}\lambda.M_1 = \lambda.\{\underline{n+1}/N^+\}M_1$
3. $\{\underline{n}/N\}\underline{m} = \begin{cases} \underline{m-1}, & \text{if } m > n \\ N, & \text{if } m = n \\ \underline{m}, & \text{if } m < n \end{cases}$

Definition (β -contraction in λ_{dB})

β -**contraction** in λ_{dB} is defined by

$$(\lambda.M N) \triangleright_{\beta} \{\underline{1}/N\}M$$

Definition (Restricted intersection types and contexts)

1. The **restricted intersection types** are defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T} &::= \mathcal{A} \mid \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \\ \mathcal{U} &::= \omega \mid \mathcal{U} \wedge \mathcal{U} \mid \mathcal{T}\end{aligned}$$

\wedge is commutative, associative and has ω as neutral element.

2. The **contexts** are sequences of objects in \mathcal{U} , defined by:

$$\Gamma ::= \text{nil} \mid u.\Gamma, \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{U}$$

Our system is a de Bruijn version of a system by Sayag and Mauny in [SM96a, SM96b]

Definition (Restricted intersection types and contexts)

1. The **restricted intersection types** are defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T} &::= \mathcal{A} \mid \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \\ \mathcal{U} &::= \omega \mid \mathcal{U} \wedge \mathcal{U} \mid \mathcal{T}\end{aligned}$$

\wedge is commutative, associative and has ω as neutral element.

2. The **contexts** are sequences of objects in \mathcal{U} , defined by:

$$\Gamma ::= \text{nil} \mid u.\Gamma, \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{U}$$

Our system is a de Bruijn version of a system by Sayag and Mauny in [SM96a, SM96b]

Definition

1. $\omega^n := \omega.\omega.\dots.\omega.nil$ such that $|\omega^n| = n$.
2. The extension of \wedge for contexts:
 - $nil \wedge \Gamma = \Gamma \wedge nil = \Gamma$
 - $(u_1.\Gamma) \wedge (u_2.\Delta) = (u_1 \wedge u_2).(\Gamma \wedge \Delta)$
3. Type substitutions $s : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ such that:
 - $s(u \rightarrow \tau) = s(u) \rightarrow s(\tau)$
 - $s(\omega) = \omega$ and $s(u \wedge v) = s(u) \wedge s(v)$
 - $s(nil) = nil$ and $s(u.\Gamma) = s(u).s(\Gamma)$

Recall: $M : \langle \Gamma \vdash \tau \rangle$ is used instead of $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$

Definition

1. $\omega^n := \omega.\omega.\dots.\omega.nil$ such that $|\omega^n| = n$.
2. The extension of \wedge for contexts:
 - $nil \wedge \Gamma = \Gamma \wedge nil = \Gamma$
 - $(u_1.\Gamma) \wedge (u_2.\Delta) = (u_1 \wedge u_2).(\Gamma \wedge \Delta)$
3. Type substitutions $s : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ such that:
 - $s(u \rightarrow \tau) = s(u) \rightarrow s(\tau)$
 - $s(\omega) = \omega$ and $s(u \wedge v) = s(u) \wedge s(v)$
 - $s(nil) = nil$ and $s(u.\Gamma) = s(u).s(\Gamma)$

Recall: $M : \langle \Gamma \vdash \tau \rangle$ is used instead of $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$

Definition (Typing Rules)

1. System SM is defined by:

$$\frac{\tau \in \mathcal{T}}{\underline{1} : \langle \tau. nil \vdash \tau \rangle} \text{ var} \quad \frac{M : \langle nil \vdash \tau \rangle}{\lambda.M : \langle nil \vdash \omega \rightarrow \tau \rangle} \rightarrow'_i$$

$$\frac{\underline{n} : \langle \Gamma \vdash \tau \rangle}{\underline{n+1} : \langle \omega. \Gamma \vdash \tau \rangle} \text{ varn} \quad \frac{M : \langle u. \Gamma \vdash \tau \rangle}{\lambda.M : \langle \Gamma \vdash u \rightarrow \tau \rangle} \rightarrow_i$$

$$\frac{M_1 : \langle \Gamma \vdash \omega \rightarrow \tau \rangle \quad M_2 : \langle \Delta \vdash \sigma \rangle}{M_1 M_2 : \langle \Gamma \wedge \Delta \vdash \tau \rangle} \rightarrow'_e$$

$$\frac{M_1 : \langle \Gamma \vdash \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \sigma_i \rightarrow \tau \rangle \quad M_2 : \langle \Delta^1 \vdash \sigma_1 \rangle \dots M_n : \langle \Delta^n \vdash \sigma_n \rangle}{M_1 M_2 : \langle \Gamma \wedge \Delta^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \Delta^n \vdash \tau \rangle} \rightarrow_e$$

2. System SM_r is obtained from SM , taking $\tau = \sigma_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \sigma_n \rightarrow \alpha$ in rule var

Lemma

If $M: \langle \Gamma \vdash_{SM/SM_r} \tau \rangle$, then $|\Gamma| = \text{sup}(M)$ and $\forall i, \Gamma_i \neq \omega$ iff $i \in FI(M)$.

Lemma (Generation)

1. If $\underline{n}: \langle \Gamma \vdash_{SM/SM_r} \tau \rangle$, then $\Gamma_n = \tau$.
2. If $\underline{n}: \langle \Gamma \vdash_{SM_r} \tau \rangle$, then $\tau = \sigma_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \sigma_k \rightarrow \alpha$.
3. If $\lambda.M: \langle \text{nil} \vdash_{SM/SM_r} \tau \rangle$, then
 - ▶ $\tau = \omega \rightarrow \sigma$ and $M: \langle \text{nil} \vdash_{SM/SM_r} \sigma \rangle$ or
 - ▶ $\tau = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \sigma_i \rightarrow \sigma$ and $M: \langle \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \sigma_i.\text{nil} \vdash_{SM/SM_r} \sigma \rangle$.
4. If $\lambda.M: \langle \Gamma \vdash_{SM/SM_r} \tau \rangle$ and $|\Gamma| > 0$, then $\tau = u \rightarrow \sigma$ s.t.
 $M: \langle u.\Gamma \vdash_{SM/SM_r} \sigma \rangle$.
5. If $\underline{n} M_1 \dots M_m: \langle \Gamma \vdash_{SM_r} \tau \rangle$,
 $\Gamma = (\omega^{\underline{n-1}}.\sigma_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \sigma_m \rightarrow \tau.\text{nil}) \wedge \Gamma^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \Gamma^m$,
 $\tau = \sigma_{m+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \sigma_{m+k} \rightarrow \alpha$ and $M_i: \langle \Gamma^i \vdash_{SM_r} \sigma_i \rangle$.

Lemma

If $M: \langle \Gamma \vdash_{SM/SM_r} \tau \rangle$, then $|\Gamma| = \text{sup}(M)$ and $\forall i, \Gamma_i \neq \omega$ iff $i \in FI(M)$.

Lemma (Generation)

1. If $\underline{n}: \langle \Gamma \vdash_{SM/SM_r} \tau \rangle$, then $\Gamma_n = \tau$.
2. If $\underline{n}: \langle \Gamma \vdash_{SM_r} \tau \rangle$, then $\tau = \sigma_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \sigma_k \rightarrow \alpha$.
3. If $\lambda.M: \langle \text{nil} \vdash_{SM/SM_r} \tau \rangle$, then
 - ▶ $\tau = \omega \rightarrow \sigma$ and $M: \langle \text{nil} \vdash_{SM/SM_r} \sigma \rangle$ or
 - ▶ $\tau = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \sigma_i \rightarrow \sigma$ and $M: \langle \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \sigma_i.\text{nil} \vdash_{SM/SM_r} \sigma \rangle$.
4. If $\lambda.M: \langle \Gamma \vdash_{SM/SM_r} \tau \rangle$ and $|\Gamma| > 0$, then $\tau = u \rightarrow \sigma$ s.t.
 $M: \langle u.\Gamma \vdash_{SM/SM_r} \sigma \rangle$.
5. If $\underline{n} M_1 \dots M_m: \langle \Gamma \vdash_{SM_r} \tau \rangle$,
 $\Gamma = (\omega \xrightarrow{n-1} \sigma_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \sigma_m \rightarrow \tau.\text{nil}) \wedge \Gamma^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \Gamma^m$,
 $\tau = \sigma_{m+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \sigma_{m+k} \rightarrow \alpha$ and $M_i: \langle \Gamma^i \vdash_{SM_r} \sigma_i \rangle$.

Theorem

Every β -nf in de Bruijn notation is typeable in system SM_r .

Type inference algorithm for β -nf

Let N be a β -nf

$\text{Infer}(N) =$

Case $N = \underline{n}$

let α be a fresh type variable

return $(\omega^{n-1}.\alpha.nil, \alpha)$

Case $N = \lambda.N_1$

let $(\Gamma^1, \varphi_1) = \text{Infer}(N_1)$

if $(\Gamma^1 = u.\Gamma')$ then

return $(\Gamma', u \rightarrow \varphi_1)$

else

return $(nil, \omega \rightarrow \varphi_1)$

Case $N = \underline{n} N_1 \cdots N_m$

let $(\Gamma^1, \varphi_1) = \text{Infer}(N_1)$

\vdots

$(\Gamma^m, \varphi_m) = \text{Infer}(N_m)$

α be a fresh type variable

return $((\omega^{n-1}.\varphi_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \varphi_m \rightarrow \alpha.nil) \wedge \Gamma^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \Gamma^m, \alpha)$

Theorem (Soundness)

If N is a β -nf and $\text{Infer}(N) = (\Gamma, \varphi)$, then $N : \langle \Gamma \vdash_{SM_r} \varphi \rangle$.

Theorem (Completeness)

If $N : \langle \Gamma \vdash_{SM_r} \varphi \rangle$, N a β -nf, then for $(\Gamma', \varphi') = \text{Infer}(N)$ exists a type substitution s such that $s(\Gamma') = \Gamma$ and $s(\varphi') = \varphi$.

Definition

1. Let \mathcal{T}_C , \mathcal{T}_{NF} and \mathcal{U}_C be defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_C &::= \mathcal{A} | \mathcal{T}_{NF} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_C \\ \mathcal{T}_{NF} &::= \mathcal{A} | \mathcal{U}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{NF} \\ \mathcal{U}_C &::= \omega | \mathcal{U}_C \wedge \mathcal{U}_C | \mathcal{T}_C\end{aligned}$$

2. Let \mathcal{C} be the set of contexts Γ with types in \mathcal{U}_C

Lemma

$$Im(\text{Infer}) \subseteq \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{T}_{NF}$$

Definition

1. Let \mathcal{T}_C , \mathcal{T}_{NF} and \mathcal{U}_C be defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_C &::= \mathcal{A} | \mathcal{T}_{NF} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_C \\ \mathcal{T}_{NF} &::= \mathcal{A} | \mathcal{U}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{NF} \\ \mathcal{U}_C &::= \omega | \mathcal{U}_C \wedge \mathcal{U}_C | \mathcal{T}_C\end{aligned}$$

2. Let \mathcal{C} be the set of contexts Γ with types in \mathcal{U}_C

Lemma

$$Im(\text{Infer}) \subseteq \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{T}_{NF}$$

Definition (Γ -types)

$$T ::= \Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi \mid \Delta \Rightarrow \quad \Gamma, \Delta \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } \varphi \in \mathcal{T}_{NF} \text{ and } |\Delta| > 0$$

Let T^N be obtained from $\text{Infer}(N)$, for any β -nf N .

Definition (Left subtypes)

The set $L(T)$ is defined by:

- $L(\Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi) = L(\Gamma) \cup L(\varphi)$
- $L(\Gamma) = \cup_{i=0}^m \{\Gamma_i\}$, for $\Gamma_i \neq \omega$.
- $L(\alpha) = \emptyset$
- $L(\omega \rightarrow \sigma) = L(\sigma)$
- $L(\wedge_{i=1}^n \sigma_i \rightarrow \sigma) = \{\wedge_{i=1}^n \sigma_i\} \cup L(\sigma)$.

Definition (Γ -types)

$$T ::= \Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi \mid \Delta \Rightarrow \quad \Gamma, \Delta \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } \varphi \in \mathcal{T}_{NF} \text{ and } |\Delta| > 0$$

Let T^N be obtained from $\text{Infer}(N)$, for any β -nf N .

Definition (Left subtypes)

The set $L(T)$ is defined by:

- $L(\Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi) = L(\Gamma) \cup L(\varphi)$
- $L(\Gamma) = \cup_{i=0}^m \{\Gamma_i\}$, for $\Gamma_i \neq \omega$.
- $L(\alpha) = \emptyset$
- $L(\omega \rightarrow \sigma) = L(\sigma)$
- $L(\wedge_{i=1}^n \sigma_i \rightarrow \sigma) = \{\wedge_{i=1}^n \sigma_i\} \cup L(\sigma)$.

Definition

T is complete if:

- T is closed
- T is finally closed
- T is minimally closed.

Lemma

If N is a β -nf then T^N is complete.

Definition

T is complete if:

- T is closed
- T is finally closed
- T is minimally closed.

Lemma

If N is a β -nf then T^N is complete.

Definition (Principal)

A complete T is principal if:

- $T = \omega^{n-1}.\alpha.nil \Rightarrow \alpha$
- $T = \Gamma \Rightarrow \alpha$ s.t. $\Gamma = (\omega^{n-1}.\varphi_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \varphi_m \rightarrow \alpha.nil) \wedge \Gamma^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \Gamma^m$ and $\forall i, \Gamma^i \Rightarrow \varphi_i$ is principal.
- $T = nil \Rightarrow \omega \rightarrow \varphi_1$ and $nil \Rightarrow \varphi_1$ is principal.
- $T = \Gamma \Rightarrow u \rightarrow \varphi_1$ s.t. either $\Gamma \neq nil$ or $u \neq \omega$ and $u.\Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi_1$ is principal.

Lemma

Let $\mathcal{P} = \{(\Gamma, \varphi) \in \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{T}_{NF} \mid \Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi \text{ is principal}\}$. Then

$$Im(\text{Infer}) \subseteq \mathcal{P}$$

Definition (Principal)

A complete T is principal if:

- $T = \omega^{n-1}.\alpha.nil \Rightarrow \alpha$
- $T = \Gamma \Rightarrow \alpha$ s.t. $\Gamma = (\omega^{n-1}.\varphi_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \varphi_m \rightarrow \alpha.nil) \wedge \Gamma^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \Gamma^m$ and $\forall i, \Gamma^i \Rightarrow \varphi_i$ is principal.
- $T = nil \Rightarrow \omega \rightarrow \varphi_1$ and $nil \Rightarrow \varphi_1$ is principal.
- $T = \Gamma \Rightarrow u \rightarrow \varphi_1$ s.t. either $\Gamma \neq nil$ or $u \neq \omega$ and $u.\Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi_1$ is principal.

Lemma

Let $\mathcal{P} = \{(\Gamma, \varphi) \in \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{T}_{NF} \mid \Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi \text{ is principal}\}$. Then

$$Im(\text{Infer}) \subseteq \mathcal{P}$$

Reconstruction algorithm

```
Recon( $\Gamma, \varphi$ ) =  
  Case ( $nil, \alpha$ )  
    fail  
  Case ( $\Gamma, \alpha$ )  
    let  $\{(i^1, u_1), \dots, (i^m, u_m)\} = FO(\alpha, \Gamma)$   
    if  $m = 1$  and  $u_1 = (\tau_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \tau_n \rightarrow \alpha) \wedge u'$  s.t.  $\alpha \notin TypeVar(u')$   
      then if  $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n$  there is  $\Gamma^i$  s.t.  $\Gamma = \Gamma^i \wedge X^i$   
        and  $\Gamma^i \Rightarrow \tau_i$  is principal  
          then let  $(N_1, \Delta^1) = Recon(\Gamma^1, \tau_1)$   
             $\vdots$   
             $(N_n, \Delta^n) = Recon(\Gamma^n, \tau_n)$   
             $\Delta' = \omega^{i^1-1}.\tau_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \tau_n \rightarrow \alpha.nil$   
             $\Gamma' = \Delta' \wedge \Gamma^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \Gamma^n$   
             $\Gamma = (\Gamma' \wedge \Delta^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \Delta^n) \wedge \Delta$ , s.t.  $\Delta \neq \omega^j, \forall 1 \leq j \leq |\Gamma|$   
          return  $(i^1 N_1 \dots N_n, \Delta)$   
        else fail  
    else fail
```

Reconstruction algorithm(cont.)

Case $(\Gamma, u \rightarrow \varphi_1)$
if $\Gamma = nil$ and $u = \omega$
 then let $(N_1, \Delta) = \text{Recon}(\Gamma, \varphi_1)$
 else let $\Gamma' = u.\Gamma$
 $(N_1, \Delta) = \text{Recon}(\Gamma', \varphi_1)$
if $\Delta = nil$
 then return $(\lambda.N_1, \Delta)$
 else fail

Lemma

If $(\Gamma, \varphi) \in \mathcal{P}$ then:

1. $\text{Recon}(\Gamma, \varphi) = (N, nil)$ and N is a β -nf.
2. $\text{Infer}(N) = (\Gamma, \varphi)$.

Corollary

$\mathcal{P} = \text{Im}(\text{Infer})$

Reconstruction algorithm(cont.)

```
Case  $(\Gamma, u \rightarrow \varphi_1)$   
  if  $\Gamma = nil$  and  $u = \omega$   
    then let  $(N_1, \Delta) = \text{Recon}(\Gamma, \varphi_1)$   
    else let  $\Gamma' = u.\Gamma$   
           $(N_1, \Delta) = \text{Recon}(\Gamma', \varphi_1)$   
  if  $\Delta = nil$   
    then return  $(\lambda.N_1, \Delta)$   
    else fail
```

Lemma

If $(\Gamma, \varphi) \in \mathcal{P}$ then:

1. $\text{Recon}(\Gamma, \varphi) = (N, nil)$ and N is a β -nf.
2. $\text{Infer}(N) = (\Gamma, \varphi)$.

Corollary

$\mathcal{P} = \text{Im}(\text{Infer})$

Reconstruction algorithm(cont.)

```
Case  $(\Gamma, u \rightarrow \varphi_1)$   
  if  $\Gamma = nil$  and  $u = \omega$   
    then let  $(N_1, \Delta) = \text{Recon}(\Gamma, \varphi_1)$   
    else let  $\Gamma' = u.\Gamma$   
           $(N_1, \Delta) = \text{Recon}(\Gamma', \varphi_1)$   
  if  $\Delta = nil$   
    then return  $(\lambda.N_1, \Delta)$   
    else fail
```

Lemma

If $(\Gamma, \varphi) \in \mathcal{P}$ then:

1. $\text{Recon}(\Gamma, \varphi) = (N, nil)$ and N is a β -nf.
2. $\text{Infer}(N) = (\Gamma, \varphi)$.

Corollary

$\mathcal{P} = \text{Im}(\text{Infer})$

Subject reduction failure

We have that

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\underline{1} : \langle \alpha.nil \vdash \alpha \rangle}{\lambda.\underline{1} : \langle nil \vdash \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rangle}}{\lambda.\lambda.\underline{1} : \langle nil \vdash \omega \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rangle} \quad \frac{\frac{\underline{1} : \langle \beta.nil \vdash \beta \rangle}{\underline{2} : \langle \omega.\beta.nil \vdash \beta \rangle}}{\underline{3} : \langle \omega.\omega.\beta.nil \vdash \beta \rangle}}{\lambda.\lambda.\underline{1} \ \underline{3} : \langle \omega.\omega.\beta.nil \vdash \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rangle}}$$

and that $\lambda.\lambda.\underline{1} \ \underline{3} \triangleright_{\beta} \lambda.\underline{1}$

Conclusion, current and future works

- ▶ IT presented types all β -nf for λ_{dB}
- ▶ The inference algorithm returns principal typings for all β -nf
- ▶ Characterisation for those principal typing was given
- ▶ System SM_r is a first step towards IT systems with PT for λ_{dB}
- ▶ Extend the results for all SN terms.

Conclusion, current and future works

- ▶ IT presented types all β -nf for λ_{dB}
- ▶ The inference algorithm returns principal typings for all β -nf
- ▶ Characterisation for those principal typing was given
- ▶ System SM_r is a first step towards IT systems with PT for λ_{dB}
- ▶ Extend the results for all SN terms.

Completeness proof step

Let $\lambda.N : \langle nil \vdash \varphi \rangle$

- ▶ Case $\varphi = \omega \rightarrow \varphi_1$ and $N : \langle nil \vdash \varphi_1 \rangle$:
By IH, $\text{Infer}(N) = (\Gamma', \varphi')$ s.t. $s(\varphi') = \varphi_1$ and $s(\Gamma') = nil$.
Therefore, $\Gamma' = nil$, $\text{Infer}(\lambda.N) = (nil, \omega \rightarrow \varphi')$ and $s(\omega \rightarrow \varphi') = \varphi$.
- ▶ Case $\varphi = \bigwedge_{j=1}^n \sigma_j \rightarrow \varphi_1$ and $N : \langle \bigwedge_{j=1}^n \sigma_j . nil \vdash \varphi_1 \rangle$: analogous

References



M. Coppo and M. Dezani-Ciancaglini.

A new type assignment for lambda-terms.

Archiv für mathematische logik, 19:139–156, 1978.



M. Coppo and M. Dezani-Ciancaglini.

An Extension of the Basic Functionality Theory for the λ -Calculus.

Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic, 21(4):685–693, 1980.



N.G. de Bruijn.

Lambda-Calculus Notation with Nameless Dummies, a Tool for Automatic Formula Manipulation, with Application to the Church-Rosser Theorem.

Indag. Mat., 34(5):381–392, 1972.



F. Kamareddine, editor.

Thirty Five Years of Automating Mathematics.

Kluwer, 2003.



G. Pottinger.

A type assignment for the strongly normalizable λ -terms.

In J.P. Seldin and J. R. Hindley (eds), *To H. B. Curry: Essays on combinatory logic, lambda calculus and formalism*, pp. 561–578. Academic Press, 1980.



E. Sayag and M. Mauny.

Characterization of principal type of normal forms in intersection type system.

In *Proc. of FSTTCS'96*, LNCS, 1180:335–346. Springer, 1996.



E. Sayag and M. Mauny.

A new presentation of the intersection type discipline through principal typings of normal forms.

Tech. rep. RR-2998, INRIA, 1996.



J.B. Wells.

The essence of principal typings.

In *29th Int.Coll. on Automata, Languages and Programming*, v. 2380 of LNCS, pages 913–925. 2002.