

## APENDIX

**Lemma 0.1.** *Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma_g = \langle x_i, y_i \mid \prod [x_i, y_i] = 1, i = 1, \dots, g \rangle$  be an orientable profinite surface group of genus  $g$  and*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \Gamma \\ & & \downarrow f \\ A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & B \end{array} \quad (1),$$

*an embedding problem admitting a weak solution  $\varphi : U \rightarrow A$  such that  $\varphi(x_1) = \varphi(x_2) = \dots = \varphi(x_{sn+s-1})$  and  $\varphi(y_1) = \varphi(y_2) = \dots = \varphi(y_{sn+s-1})$ , where  $n = |K| |\varphi(\Gamma)|$ ,  $j_l > j_k$  whenever  $l > k$  and  $s$  is the minimal number of generators of  $K$ . Then (1) admits a proper solution.*

*Proof.* We shall use the notation  $x^y$  for  $y^{-1}xy$  in the argument to follow. Choose a minimal set of generators  $k_1, \dots, k_s$  of  $K$ . Let  $\eta$  be a map that sends  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  to  $\varphi(x_1)k_1; x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}, \dots, x_{2n+1}$  to  $\varphi(x_1)k_2; \dots, x_{n(s-1)+s-1}, x_{n(s-1)+s}, \dots, x_{ns+s-1}$  to  $\varphi(x_1)k_s$  and coincides with  $\varphi$  on the other generators. Then  $\eta$  extends to a homomorphism if

$$[\eta(x_1), \eta(y_1)] \dots [\eta(x_{g_i}), \eta(y_{g_i})] = 1$$

(since this would mean that the homomorphism from a free profinite group  $F(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_{g_i}, y_{g_i}) \rightarrow A$  extending  $\eta$  factors through  $U_i$ ). Now putting

$$k_{10} := k_1^{-\varphi([x_1 y_1])} k_1^{\varphi(y_1)}, \dots, k_{s0} := k_s^{-\varphi([x_{n(s-1)+s-1} y_{n(s-1)+s-1}])} k_s^{\varphi(y_{n(s-1)+s-1})}$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned} & [\eta(x_1), \eta(y_1)] \dots [\eta(x_{g_i}), \eta(y_{g_i})] = \\ & ([\varphi(x_1)k_1, \varphi(y_1)]^n [\varphi(x_{n+1}), \varphi(y_{n+1})] ([\varphi(x_{n+2})k_2, \varphi(y_{n+2})]^n [\varphi(x_{2n+2}), \varphi(y_{2n+2})] \dots \\ & \quad ([\varphi(x_{n(s-1)+s-1})k_s, \varphi(y_{n(s-1)+s-1})]^n [\varphi(x_{ns+s}), \varphi(y_{ns+s})] \dots [\varphi(x_{g_i}), \varphi(y_{g_i})] = \\ & \quad ([\varphi(x_1), \varphi(y_1)]k_{10})^n, [\varphi(x_{n+1}), \varphi(y_{n+1})][\varphi(x_{n+2}), \varphi(y_{n+2})]k_{20})^n \dots \\ & \quad [\varphi(x_{n(s-1)+s-1}), \varphi(y_{n(s-1)+s-1})]k_{s0})^n [\varphi(x_{sn+s}), \varphi(y_{sn+s})] \dots [\varphi(x_{g_i}), \varphi(y_{g_i})] \end{aligned}$$

Then putting  $b_1 = [\varphi(x_1), \varphi(y_1)], \dots, b_s = [\varphi(x_{n(s-1)+s-1}), \varphi(y_{n(s-1)+s-1})]$  and taking into account that  $b_i = [\varphi(x_i), \varphi(y_i)], \dots, b_s = [\varphi(x_{n(s-1)+s-1+i}), \varphi(y_{n(s-1)+s-1+i})]$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} & [\eta(x_1), \eta(y_1)] \dots [\eta(x_{g_i}), \eta(y_{g_i})] = \\ & b_1 k_{10} k_{10}^{b_1^{-1}} k_{10}^{b_1^{-2}} \dots k_{10}^{b_1^{-n}} b_1^{n-1} [\varphi(x_{n+1}), \varphi(y_{n+1})] \\ & b_2 k_{20} k_{20}^{b_2^{-1}} k_{20}^{b_2^{-2}} \dots k_{20}^{b_2^{-n}} b_2^{n-1} [\varphi(x_{2n+2}), \varphi(y_{2n+2})] \dots \\ & b_s k_{s0} k_{s0}^{b_s^{-1}} k_{s0}^{b_s^{-2}} \dots k_{s0}^{b_s^{-n}} b_s^{n-1} [\varphi(x_{sn+s}), \varphi(y_{sn+s})] \\ & \quad \dots [\varphi(x_{g_i}), \varphi(y_{g_i})]. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $m = |B'|$  and  $t = |K|$ , so that  $n = mt$ . Then

$$k_{i0} k_{i0}^{b_i^{-1}} k_{i0}^{b_i^{-2}} \dots k_{i0}^{b_i^{-n}} = (k_{i0} k_{i0}^{b_i^{-1}} k_{i0}^{b_i^{-2}} \dots (k_{i0}^{b_i^{-m+1}}))^t = 1$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} [\eta(x_1), \eta(y_1)] \cdots [\eta(x_{g_i}), \eta(y_{g_i})] &= [\varphi(x_1), \varphi(y_1)] \cdots [\varphi(x_{g_i}), \varphi(y_{g_i})] = \\ b_1^n \varphi([x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}]) b_2^n [\varphi(x_{2n+2}), \varphi(y_{2n+2})] \cdots b_s^n [\varphi(x_{sn+s}), \varphi(y_{sn+s})] \cdots [x_{g_i}, y_{g_i}] &= \\ \varphi([x_1, y_1] \cdots [x_{g_i}, y_{g_i}]) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

as needed.

Thus  $\eta$  extends to a homomorphism  $\psi: U \rightarrow A$  such that  $\varphi = \alpha\psi$ . But

$$\psi(x_1^{-1}x_{n+1}) = k_1, \dots, \psi(x_{n(s-1)+s-1}^{-1}x_{ns+s}) = k_s$$

so  $\psi$  is an epimorphism and the lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 0.2.** *Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma_g$  be a profinite surface group of genus  $g$  and  $N$  a projective subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & N & (2) \\ & \downarrow f & \\ A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & B \end{array}$$

be an embedding problem, where  $A, B$  are finite. Then there exists an open subgroup  $U$  of  $\Gamma$  containing  $N$  and an embedding problem such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & U & (1), \\ & \downarrow \eta & \\ A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & B \end{array}$$

satisfying hypothesis of Lemma 0.1 such that the restriction  $\eta|_N = f$ . Moreover, if  $N$  is accessible  $U$  can be chosen normal.

*Proof.* Since  $N$  is projective there exists a homomorphism  $f': N \rightarrow A$  such that  $\alpha f'(N) = B$ . Put  $B' = f'(N)$ .

By Lemma 8.3.8 in [RZ-2000] there exists an open subgroup  $U$  of  $\Gamma_g$  containing  $N$  and an epimorphism  $\varphi: U \rightarrow B'$  such that  $\varphi|_N = f'$ . Since an open subgroup of  $\Gamma_g$  is again a profinite surface group, replacing  $\Gamma_g$  by  $U$  we may assume the existence of the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & N & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_g & (2), \\ & & \downarrow \varphi & \nearrow \varphi_0 & \downarrow \varphi_0 & \\ & & B' & & B & \\ & & \downarrow \alpha & \searrow f & \downarrow f & \\ & & A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & B & \end{array}$$

where the top horizontal map is the natural inclusion. Moreover, as  $N$  is projective, 2 divides  $[\Gamma_g : N]$  and so passing to an open subgroup of index 2 containing  $N$  if necessary, we may assume to be in oriented case. Let  $U_i$  be the family of all open subgroups of  $\Gamma_g$  containing  $N$ . Then  $\varphi_i := \varphi|_{U_i}$  is an epimorphism for every  $i$ . Note that every  $U_i$  is again a profinite surface group and so has a presentation  $U_i = \langle x_1, y_1, \dots, x_{g_i}, y_{g_i} \mid \prod_{j=1}^{g_i} [x_j, y_j] \rangle$ , where the genus  $g_i$  of  $U_i$  can be computed by the formula  $g_i - 1 = [\Gamma_g : U_i](g - 1)$ . This means that we can choose  $i$  with the

number of generators of  $U_i$  sufficiently large, so that there exists  $i$  such that reordering generators  $x_j, y_j$  of  $U_i$  if necessary, we have  $\varphi(x_1) = \varphi(x_{j_1}) = \cdots = \varphi(x_{j_{s_n+s-1}})$  and  $\varphi(y_1) = \varphi(y_{j_1}) = \cdots = \varphi(y_{j_{s_n+s}})$ , where  $n = |K||B'|$  and  $j_l > j_k$  whenever  $l > k$  and  $s$  is the minimal number of generators of  $K$ . We shall use the notation  $x^y$  for  $y^{-1}xy$  in the argument to follow. Suppose  $j_1 \neq 2$ . Then  $\prod_{j=1}^{g_i} [x_j, y_j] = [x_1, y_1][x_{j_1}, y_{j_1}]([x_2, y_2] \cdots [x_{j-1}, y_{j-1}])^{[x_{j_1}, y_{j_1}]} [x_{j+1}, y_{j+1}] \cdots [x_{g_i}, y_{g_i}]$  so replacing the generators  $x_2, y_2, \dots, x_{j-1}, y_{j-1}$  by  $x_2^{[x_{j_1}, y_{j_1}]}, y_2^{[x_{j_1}, y_{j_1}]}, \dots, x_{j-1}^{[x_{j_1}, y_{j_1}]}, y_{j-1}^{[x_{j_1}, y_{j_1}]}$  we may assume that  $j_1 = 2$ . Continuing similarly, we in fact may assume that  $\varphi(x_1) = \varphi(x_2) = \cdots = \varphi(x_{s_n+s})$  and  $\varphi(y_1) = \varphi(y_2) = \cdots = \varphi(y_{s_n+s})$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 0.3.** 2.2 Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma_g$  be a profinite surface group of genus  $g$  and  $N$  a projective accessible subgroup of  $\Gamma$ .

Then  $N$  is isomorphic to an accessible subgroup of infinite index of a free profinite group.

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.1 we need to solve the following embedding problem for  $N$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & N & (1), \\ & \downarrow f & \\ A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & B \end{array}$$

where  $A, B$  are finite,  $K := \text{Ker}(\alpha)$  is minimal normal and  $K \leq M(A)$ .

By two preceding lemmas we have an open subgroup  $N \leq U \leq \Gamma$  and an epimorphism  $\psi : U \rightarrow A$  such that  $\alpha(\psi(N)) = B$  and so  $\psi(N)M(A) = A$ . Since  $\psi(N)$  is a subnormal subgroup of  $A$  by Proposition 8.3.6 in [RZ]  $\psi(N) = A$  as needed.  $\square$