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## 1. Introduction

The Bianchi groups are the groups $\operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$, where $\mathcal{O}_{d}$ denotes the ring of integers of the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{ }-d)$ for each square-free positive integer $d$. These groups have long been of interest, not only because of their intrinsic interest as abstract groups, but also because they arise naturally in number theory and geometry. For a discussion of their algebraic properties we refer the reader to Fine [4]. Among the groups $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(R)$, with $R$ the ring of integers of an algebraic number field, they are distinguished by the nature of their normal subgroup structure. It was shown by Serre [20] that if $R$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathcal{O}_{d}$, then for every normal subgroup $K$ of $\operatorname{SL}_{2}(R)$ there is an ideal $I$ of $R$ such that the image in $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(R) / K$ of the kernel of the natural map from $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(R)$ to $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(R / I)$ is central and isomorphic to a subgroup of the group of roots of 1 in $R$. On the other hand, the group $\operatorname{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ and the Bianchi groups have many subgroups of finite index which are not of the above type: this follows easily from the fact that $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a free product of a group of order 2 and a group of order 3 , and the fact, proved by Grunewald and Schwermer [6], that each Bianchi group has a normal subgroup of finite index which can be mapped epimorphically to a non-abelian free group.

Among the Bianchi groups $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$, the ones which have proved most amenable to study are those for which $\mathcal{O}_{d}$ is a Euclidean domain. These groups, the groups $\operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ with $d=1,2,3,7,11$, are sometimes called the Euclidean Bianchi groups. Our object here is to give another illustration that four of these groups have many normal subgroups of finite index. A group $G$ is said to be conjugacy separable if whenever $a, b$ are non-conjugate elements of $G$ there is some finite quotient group of $G$ in which the images of $a, b$ fail to be conjugate. The notion of conjugacy separability owes its importance to the fact, first pointed out by Mal'cev [14], that the conjugacy problem has a positive solution in finitely presented conjugacy separable groups. It is well known that $\operatorname{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is conjugacy separable. We shall prove the following result.

Theorem 1. The Bianchi group $\operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ is conjugacy separable for $d=1,2,7,11$.
Since Theorem 1 holds because of the existence of normal subgroups of finite index which are not closely related to kernels of maps to groups $\operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{d} / I\right)$, and which
therefore have no immediate number-theoretic significance, we approach the proof with group-theoretic methods. These depend on characterizations, due to Fine [4], of $\operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right)$ as an amalgamated free product and of $\operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ for $d=2,7,11$ as an HNN extension. There are results asserting that, under fairly stringent conditions on the free factors $G_{1}, G_{2}$, an amalgamated free product $G_{1} *_{H} G_{2}$ with a cyclic amalgamated subgroup $H$ is conjugacy separable (see $[\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1 9}, 17]$ ). In the expression of $\operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right)$ as an amalgamated free product $G_{1} *_{H} G_{2}$, the amalgamated subgroup $H$ is the natural image of $\operatorname{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, and although this is not cyclic, there is additional information available on $G_{1}, G_{2}$ and the embeddings of $G_{1}, G_{2}, H$ in $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right)$. We recall that the profinite topology on a group $X$ is the topology having the family of all cosets of subgroups of finite index in $X$ as a base of open sets; a subgroup $Z$ is closed in this topology if and only if it equals the intersection of all subgroups of finite index containing it, and the profinite topology on $X$ induces a (subspace) topology on a subgroup $Z$ which is generally weaker than the profinite topology on $Z$. A group $X$ is residually finite if and only if the profinite topology is Hausdorff, and $X$ is conjugacy separable if and only if each of its conjugacy classes is closed in the profinite topology. We shall say that the profinite topology on an amalgamated free product $G=G_{1} *_{H} G_{2}$ is efficient if $G$ is residually finite, the profinite topology on $G$ induces the profinite topology on $G_{1}, G_{2}, H$, and $G_{1}, G_{2}, H$ are closed in the profinite topology on $G$. We shall show that $\operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right)$ satisfies the hypotheses of the following result.

Theorem 2 (a). Let $G=G_{1} *_{H} G_{2}$ be an amalgamated free product satisfying the following conditions:
(i) the profinite topology on $G$ is efficient;
(ii) $G_{1}, G_{2}, H$ are finitely generated virtually free groups;
(iii) $H \cap g H g^{-1}$ is cyclic for all $g \in G \backslash G_{2}$;
(iv) there exist a conjugacy separable group $T$ and an epimorphism $\tau: G \rightarrow T$ such that $\left.\tau\right|_{G_{1}}$ is injective and $\tau\left(\left\{g \in G \mid g H g^{-1} \leqslant G_{1}\right\}\right)=T$.
Then $G$ is conjugacy separable.
We shall also prove a corresponding result for HNN extensions and show that its hypotheses are satisfied by the groups $\operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ with $d=2,7,11$. We say that the profinite topology on an HNN extension $G=K *_{f}\langle t\rangle$ is efficient if $G$ is residually finite, the profinite topology on $G$ induces the profinite topology on $K$ and the associated subgroups $H, H_{1}$, and $K, H, H_{1}$ are closed in the profinite topology on $G$.

Theorem 2 (b). Let $G=K *_{f}\langle t\rangle$ be an HNN extension such that
(i) the profinite topology on $G$ is efficient;
(ii) $K$ and the associated subgroups $H, H_{1}$ are finitely generated virtually free groups;
(iii) $H \cap g H^{-1}$ is cyclic for all $g \in G \backslash H$;
(iv) there exist a conjugacy separable group $T$ and an epimorphism $\tau$ : $G \rightarrow T$ such that $\left.\tau\right|_{K}$ is injective and $\tau\left(\left\{g \in G \mid g H g^{-1} \leqslant K\right\}\right)=T$.
Then $G$ is conjugacy separable.
It is reasonable to conjecture that all of the Bianchi groups are conjugacy separable. However a proof would require entirely different techniques from those used here. The group $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{3}\right)$ cannot be written either as a non-trivial amalgamated free product or as an HNN extension, and, while it is possible to write each group $\operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ with $d \neq 1,2,3,7,11$ as a non-trivial amalgamated free product $G_{1} *_{H} G_{2}$,
the structure of the groups $G_{i}$ seems hard to determine except for a few small values of $d$.

Apart from a result of Dyer [3], asserting that an HNN extension of a conjugacy separable group with finite associated subgroups is conjugacy separable, and Theorem $2(b)$ above, very little seems to be known about conjugacy separability of HNN extensions. A conspicuous gap in our knowledge concerns HNN extensions with cyclic associated subgroups. We shall show how the proof of Theorem $2(b)$ can be modified to yield the following result, which is similar in character to results of $[19,17]$ on amalgamated free products.

Theorem 3. Let $G=K *_{f}\langle t\rangle$ be an HNN extension with cyclic associated subgroups such that the profinite topology on $G$ is efficient. If in addition $K$ is either a finitely generated virtually free group or a virtually polycyclic group, then $G$ is conjugacy separable.

We shall prove Theorems $2(a), 2(b)$ and 3 by considering the standard trees on which amalgamated free products and HNN extensions act and the standard profinite trees on which the profinite completions of the groups act. The necessary information on abstract and profinite amalgamated free products and HNN extensions and the associated trees is given in Section 2. For a fuller account, we refer the reader to Serre [21] and Zalesskii and Melnikov [23, 24]. In Section 2 we also collect some properties of virtually free groups which play an important part in our proofs. Two of these are new and perhaps of independent interest. Theorems $2(a), 2(b)$ and 3 are proved in Section 3. In Section 4 we study the Bianchi groups occurring in Theorem 1. One fact which emerges (in Lemma $4 \cdot 2(\mathrm{v})$ and Lemma $4 \cdot 3(\mathrm{v})$ ) is that the profinite topology on each of these groups induces the profinite topology on the natural image of $\operatorname{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, so that the embedding of $\operatorname{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ in $\operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ induces an embedding of profinite completions, for $d=1,2,7,11$. This sheds a small amount of light on a remark of Lubotsky at the end of [12]. The results of Section 4 show that the Bianchi groups in Theorem 1 satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem $2(a)$ and Theorem $2(b)$, and so are conjugacy separable.

This paper was written while the second author was visiting the University of Birmingham. He would like to thank the School of Mathematics and Statistics for its support and warm hospitality.

## 2. Preliminary results

2•1. Completions and standard trees. In Section 3, we shall be concerned with amalgamated free products and HNN extensions, with their profinite completions and with the trees on which they act. We begin here by describing briefly the main definitions and the results that we shall use.
To each free amalgamated product $G=G_{1} *_{H} G_{2}$ of abstract groups there corresponds a standard tree $S(G)$, constructed as follows: its vertex set is $V(S(G))=$ $G / G_{1} \cup G / G_{2}$, its edge set is $E(S(G))=G / H$, and the initial and terminal vertices of an edge $e=g H$ are respectively $g G_{1}$ and $g G_{2}$; here we write $X / Y$ to mean the set of cosets $\{x Y \mid x \in X\}$ of a subgroup $Y$ in a group $X$. The group $G$ has a natural action (on the left) on its standard tree.

Let $G=K *_{f}\langle t\rangle$ be an HNN extension of abstract groups: thus $K$ is a group (called
the base group of the HNN extension), $f: H \rightarrow H_{1}$ is an isomorphism between two subgroups $H, H_{1}$ of $K$ (called the associated subgroups), and $G$ is generated by $K$ and $t$ subject to the relations $t h t^{-1}=f(h)$ for all $h \in H$. The standard tree $S(G)$ of $G$ is constructed as follows: its vertex set is $V(S(G))=G / K$, its edge set is $E(S(G))=G / H$, and the initial and terminal vertices of an edge $e=g H$ are respectively $g K$ and $g t K$. Again $G$ has a natural action on its standard tree. For further details and general properties of trees acted on by abstract groups, we refer the reader to Serre [21].

Both amalgamated free products and HNN extensions may be defined in terms of universal properties. It is convenient to use the corresponding universal properties when defining profinite amalgamated free products and HNN extensions.

Let $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ be profinite groups with a common closed subgroup $\Delta$. The profinite amalgamated free product of $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ with the amalgamated subgroup $\Delta$ consists of a profinite group $\Gamma$ and two homomorphisms $i_{1}: \Gamma_{1} \rightarrow \Gamma, i_{2}: \Gamma_{2} \rightarrow \Gamma$ which agree on $\Delta$ and have the following universal property: for any profinite group $\Omega$ and any pair of homomorphisms $\phi_{1}: \Gamma_{1} \rightarrow \Omega, \phi_{2}: \Gamma_{2} \rightarrow \Omega$ such that $\left.\phi_{1}\right|_{\Delta}=\left.\phi_{2}\right|_{\Delta}$, there is a unique homomorphism $\phi_{0}: \Gamma \rightarrow \Omega$ such that $\phi_{1}=\phi_{0} i_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}=\phi_{0} i_{2}$. In order to verify that $\Gamma$ is the profinite amalgamated free product, it is sufficient to check this universal property when $\Omega$ is finite.

Let $\Delta$ be a profinite group and let $f: A \rightarrow A_{1}$ be a continuous isomorphism between closed subgroups $A, A_{1}$ of $\Delta$. The profinite HNN extension $\Gamma=\Delta \sqcup_{f} \overline{\langle t\rangle}$ of $\Delta$ with respect to $f$ consists of a profinite group $\Gamma$, an element $t \in \Gamma$, and a homomorphism $i: \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$ satisfying the following universal property: for any profinite group $\Omega$, any $s \in \Omega$ and any homomorphism $\phi: \Delta \rightarrow \Omega$ satisfying $s(\phi(a)) s^{-1}=\phi f(a)$ for all $a \in A$, there is a unique homomorphism $\phi_{0}: \Gamma \rightarrow \Omega$ which satisfies $\phi=\phi_{0} i$ and maps $t$ to $s$. Just as for profinite amalgamated products, to verify that $\Gamma$ is the profinite HNN extension it is sufficient to check the universal property when $\Omega$ is finite.
Corresponding to each profinite amalgamated free product and each profinite HNN extension there is a standard profinite tree. The standard profinite tree $S(\Gamma)$ of a profinite amalgamated product $\Gamma=\Gamma_{1} \sqcup_{\Delta} \Gamma_{2}$ has vertex set $V(S(\Gamma))=\Gamma / \Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma / \Gamma_{2}$, edge set $E(S(\Gamma))=\Gamma / \Delta$, and the edge $e=\gamma \Delta$ has initial and terminal vertices $\gamma \Gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma \Gamma_{2}$ respectively. Similarly, the standard profinite tree $S(\Gamma)$ of a profinite HNN extension $\Gamma=\Delta \sqcup_{f} \overline{\langle t\rangle}$ with first associated subgroup $A$ has vertex set $V(S(\Gamma))=\Gamma / \Delta$, edge set $E(S(\Gamma))=\Gamma / A$, and the initial and terminal vertices of an edge $e=g A$ are $g \Delta$ and $g t \Delta$ respectively. In both of these cases, $V(S(\Gamma))$ and $E(S(\Gamma))$ are profinite spaces (that is, they are compact Hausdorff totally disconnected topological spaces) and the natural action of $\Gamma$ on $S(\Gamma)$ is continuous. For further information about standard profinite trees we refer the reader to $[\mathbf{2 3}, \mathbf{2 4}]$, where the properties of profinite trees have been studied in a somewhat wider context.
Let $G=G_{1} *_{H} G_{2}$ be an amalgamated free product of abstract groups and suppose that $G$ is residually finite. It follows from the universal property of the profinite amalgamated free product that the profinite completion $\widehat{G}$ of $G$ is equal to $\bar{G}_{1} \sqcup_{\bar{H}} \bar{G}_{2}$, where $\bar{G}_{1}, \bar{H}, \bar{G}_{2}$ denote closures in $\widehat{G}$. In particular, if the profinite topology on $G$ induces the profinite topologies on $G_{1}, G_{2}, H$, we have $\widehat{G}=\widehat{G}_{1} \sqcup_{\widehat{H}} \widehat{G}_{2}$. We consider the standard tree $S(G)$ and the standard profinite tree $S(\widehat{G})$. It is easy to see that
if $G_{1}, G_{2}$ and $H$ are closed in the profinite topology on $G$, then $S(G)$ is naturally embedded in $S(\widehat{G})$; and in fact the image of $S(G)$ is dense in $S(\widehat{G})$.
Now suppose instead that $G=K *_{f}\langle t\rangle$ is an HNN extension of abstract groups which is residually finite. Let $H, H_{1}$ be the associated subgroups of $G$. From the universal property of the profinite HNN extension we have $\widehat{G}=G=\bar{K} \sqcup_{\bar{f}} \overline{\langle t\rangle}$, where $\bar{K}, \overline{\langle t\rangle}$ denote closures in $\widehat{G}$ and $\bar{f}$ is the isomorphism of the closures $\bar{H}, \bar{H}_{1}$ of $H, H_{1}$ induced by $f$; these closures are isomorphic, since $t \bar{H} t^{-1}=\bar{H}_{1}$. If the profinite topology on $G$ induces the profinite topologies on $K, H, H_{1}$, we have $\widehat{G}=G=\widehat{H} \sqcup_{\hat{f}} \widehat{\langle t\rangle}$, where $\hat{f}$ is the isomorphism of profinite completions induced by $f$. We consider the standard trees $S(G), S(\widehat{G})$ corresponding to $G=K *_{f}\langle t\rangle$ and $\widehat{G}=\bar{K} \sqcup_{\bar{f}} \overline{\langle t\rangle}$. It is easy to see that if $K, H$ and $H_{1}$ are closed in the profinite topology on $G$, then $S(G)$ is naturally embedded in $S(\widehat{G})$, and again the image of $S(G)$ is dense in $S(\widehat{G})$.
We shall need the following results; we quote them in a form tailored to our purposes.

Proposition 2•1. ([19], Lemma 2•8). (a) Let $G=G_{1} *_{H} G_{2}$ be an amalgamated free product such that $G$ is residually finite and $G_{1}, G_{2}, H$ are closed in the profinite topology on $G$. If $a \in G$ and $a$ is conjugate to an element of $G_{1} \cup G_{2}$ in $\widehat{G}$, then a is conjugate to an element of $G_{1} \cup G_{2}$ in $G$.
(b) Let $G=K *_{f}\langle t\rangle$ be an HNN extension such that $G$ is residually finite and such that $K$ and the associated subgroups are closed in the profinite topology on $G$. If $a \in G$ and $a$ is conjugate to an element of $K$ in $\widehat{G}$, then a is conjugate to an element of $K$ in $G$.

Proposition 2•2. ([23], Theorem 3•12). (a) Let $\Gamma=\Gamma_{1} \sqcup_{\Delta} \Gamma_{2}$ be a profinite amalgamated free product and let $\gamma \in \Gamma$. If either (i) $j=1$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{1}$ or (ii) $j=2$, then $\Gamma_{1} \cap \gamma \Gamma_{j} \gamma^{-1} \leqslant \mu \Delta \mu^{-1}$ for some $\mu \in \Gamma_{1}$.
(b) Let $\Gamma=\Delta \sqcup_{f} \overline{\langle t\rangle}$ be a profinite HNN extension, with first associated subgroup $A$. If $\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash \Delta$, then $\Delta \cap \gamma \Delta \gamma^{-1} \leqslant \mu A \mu^{-1}$ for some $\mu \in \Delta$.
2.2. Properties of virtually free groups. Here we collect some results concerning subgroups of virtually free groups, beginning in Proposition $2 \cdot 3$ with some which are either known or easy extensions of known results. We recall that a group $G$ is subgroup separable if whenever $H$ is a finitely generated subgroup and $g$ is an element of $G \backslash H$, there is a normal subgroup $N$ of finite index in $G$ such that $g \notin H N$; equivalently, $G$ is subgroup separable if each finitely generated subgroup $H$ of $G$ is closed in the profinite topology on $G$. If $G$ is residually finite, $G$ is subgroup separable if and only if the intersection with $G$ of the closure of $H$ in $\widehat{G}$ equals $H$, for each finitely generated subgroup $H$.

Proposition 2•3. Let $G$ be a finitely generated virtually free group. Then
(a) $G$ is conjugacy separable;
(b) $G$ is subgroup separable;
(c) the profinite topology on $G$ induces the profinite topology on each finitely generated subgroup of $G$;
(d) for each finitely generated subgroup $H$ of $G$ there is a free subgroup $F$ of finite index in $G$ such that $F=(H \cap F) * R$, for some subgroup $R$;
(e) for each pair $H_{1}, H_{2}$ of finitely generated subgroups of $G$ one has $\overline{H_{1} H_{2}} \cap G=$ $H_{1} H_{2}$ (where $\overline{H_{1} H_{2}}$ is the closure of $H_{1} H_{2}$ in $\widehat{G}$ ).

Assertion (a) is a theorem of Dyer [2]. Assertion (b) in the case when $G$ is free is a result of M. Hall [7], and the general case follows immediately. Assertion (c) follows from $(b)$ since if $H$ is finitely generated then so are the subgroups of finite index in $H$, and (d) follows directly from another theorem of M. Hall (see [1], Theorem 1 or Lyndon and Schupp [13], Proposition I•3•10). Assertion ( $e$ ) in the case when $G$ is free is a theorem of Niblo [15] (see also [18] for a more general result); and again the general case follows immediately.

Proposition 2•4. Let $G$ be a finitely generated virtually free group and let $H_{1}, H_{2}$ be finitely generated subgroups of $G$. Then $\overline{H_{1} \cap H_{2}}=\overline{H_{1}} \cap \overline{H_{2}}$.

Proof. We must prove that $\overline{H_{1} \cap H_{2}} \geqslant \overline{H_{1}} \cap \overline{H_{2}}$, since, clearly $\overline{H_{1} \cap H_{2}} \leqslant \overline{H_{1}} \cap \overline{H_{2}}$. Suppose first that there is a subgroup $F$ of finite index such that $\overline{H_{1} \cap H_{2} \cap F} \geqslant$ $\overline{H_{1} \cap F} \cap \overline{H_{2} \cap F}$. For $i=1,2$ the subgroup $\left(\overline{H_{i} \cap F}\right) H_{i}$ is closed in $\widehat{G}$, since $\overline{H_{i} \cap F}$ contains a subgroup of finite index in $H_{i}$, and so $\overline{H_{i}}=\left(\overline{H_{i} \cap F}\right) H_{i}$. Let $w \in \overline{H_{1}} \cap \overline{H_{2}}$, and write $w=u_{1} h_{1}=u_{2} h_{2}$ with $u_{i} \in \overline{H_{i} \cap F}, h_{i} \in H_{i}$, for $i=1,2$. Thus

$$
h_{2} h_{1}^{-1}=u_{2}^{-1} u_{1} \in\left(\overline{H_{1} \cap F}\right)\left(\overline{H_{2} \cap F}\right) \cap G .
$$

By Proposition $2 \cdot 3(e)$ we have $\left(\overline{H_{1} \cap F}\right)\left(\overline{H_{2} \cap F}\right) \cap G=\left(H_{1} \cap F\right)\left(H_{2} \cap F\right)$. Thus we can find $v_{1} \in H_{1} \cap F, v_{2} \in H_{2} \cap F$ such that $v_{2}^{-1} v_{1}=u_{2}^{-1} u_{1}$, and the element $k=u_{2} v_{2}^{-1}=u_{1} v_{1}^{-1}$ satisfies

$$
k \in \overline{H_{1} \cap F} \cap \overline{H_{2} \cap F} \leqslant \overline{H_{1} \cap H_{2} \cap F} .
$$

Since $v_{1} h_{1}=v_{2} h_{2} \in H_{1} \cap H_{2}$, we conclude that $w=u_{1} h_{1}=k v_{1} h_{1} \in \overline{H_{1} \cap H_{2}}$, as required.

Now we return to the general case. By Proposition $2 \cdot 3(d)$ there is a free subgroup $F$ of finite index in $G$ such that $F=\left(H_{1} \cap F\right) * R$ for some subgroup $R$. Since the profinite topology on $G$ induces the profinite topology on $F$, it will suffice from the above paragraph to prove the result with $F$ replacing $G$ and $H_{i} \cap F$ replacing $H_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. In other words, we may assume that $G$ is free and that $G=H_{1} * R$ for some subgroup $R$. Write $H=H_{1} \cap H_{2}$. Consider $L=G *_{H_{1}} G^{\prime}$, where $G^{\prime}$ is a copy of $G$; write $H_{2}^{\prime}$ for the image of $H_{2}$ in $G^{\prime}$ and set $P=\left\langle H_{2}, H_{2}^{\prime}\right\rangle$. By the subgroup theorem for amalgamated free products we have $P \cong H_{2} *_{H} H_{2}^{\prime}$. We note that all the subgroups $G, G^{\prime}, H_{1}, H_{2}, H_{2}^{\prime}, P, H$ of $L$ are finitely generated (the last of these being finitely generated by Howson's theorem [8]; see [13], p. 18), and that $L$ is a free group. It follows from Proposition $2 \cdot 3(b),(c)$ that each of these subgroups is closed in $L$ and that its closure in $\widehat{L}$ is isomorphic to its profinite completion. Thus the natural maps $\alpha: \widehat{G} \sqcup_{\widehat{H_{1}}} \widehat{G^{\prime}} \rightarrow \widehat{L}$ and $\beta: \widehat{H_{2}} \sqcup_{\widehat{H}} \widehat{H_{2}^{\prime}} \rightarrow \bar{P}$ induced by inclusion maps from $G, G^{\prime}, H_{2}, H_{2}^{\prime}$ are isomorphisms. In particular, since $\beta$ is an isomorphism we have $\overline{H_{2}} \cap \overline{H_{2}^{\prime}}=\bar{H}$. Since $\alpha$ is an isomorphism we can define a homomorphism $\phi: \widehat{L} \rightarrow \bar{G}$ which maps each element of $G$ to itself and each element of $G^{\prime}$ to its preimage under the isomorphism from $G$ to $G^{\prime}$. Clearly $\phi\left(\overline{H_{1}} \cap \overline{H_{2}}\right)=\phi\left(\overline{H_{1}} \cap \overline{H_{2}^{\prime}}\right)$, and since the restriction of $\phi$ to $\bar{G}$ is the identity map we conclude that $\overline{H_{1}} \cap \overline{H_{2}}=\overline{H_{1}} \cap \overline{H_{2}^{\prime}}$. Thus $\overline{H_{1}} \cap \overline{H_{2}} \leqslant \overline{H_{2}} \cap \overline{H_{2}^{\prime}}=\bar{H}$, as required.

A subgroup $H$ of a group $G$ is said to be conjugacy distinguished if whenever $a$ is an element of $G$ having no conjugate in $H$, there exists a normal subgroup $N$ of finite index in $G$ such that no conjugate of $a$ lies in $H N$. Thus if $G$ is residually finite,
then $H$ is conjugacy distinguished in $G$ if and only if the following condition holds: whenever $a \in G$ and there is an element $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$ with $\gamma a \gamma^{-1} \in \bar{H}$ then there is an element $g \in G$ with $g a g^{-1} \in H$.

Proposition 2.5. Every finitely generated subgroup $H$ of a finitely generated virtually free group $G$ is conjugacy distinguished.

Proof. Let $a \in G$ and suppose that $\gamma a \gamma^{-1} \in \bar{H}$ for some $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$.
First suppose that $a$ has finite order. Since $H$ is virtually free, it is the fundamental group of a finite graph of finite groups by a theorem of Karrass, Pietrowski and Solitar [10], and its profinite completion $\widehat{H}$ is the profinite fundamental group of the same finite graph of groups (see Zalesskii and Mel'nikov [23], paragraph 3.3). It follows from Theorem 3•10 in [23] that every conjugacy class of elements of finite order of $\widehat{H}$ contains an element of $H$. Since the closure of $H$ in $\widehat{G}$ is isomorphic to $\widehat{H}$ by Proposition $2 \cdot 3(c)$, we conclude that the conjugacy class in $\bar{H}$ of $\gamma a \gamma^{-1}$ contains an element $a_{1}$ which belongs to $H$. Since $G$ is conjugacy separable by Proposition $2 \cdot 3$ (a) there is an element $g \in G$ with $g a g^{-1}=a_{1}$, and the result follows.
Now suppose that $a$ has infinite order. By Proposition $2 \cdot 3(d)$, there exists a free subgroup $F$ of finite index in $G$ such that $F=H_{1} * R$ for some subgroup $R$, where $H_{1}=H \cap F$. Since $F$ has finite index in $G$ we have $\widehat{G}=G \bar{F}$, and so, replacing $a$ by a conjugate in $G$, we can assume that $\gamma \in \bar{F}$. Pick $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a^{n} \in F$. It follows from Proposition $2 \cdot 1$ that $a^{n}$ is conjugate in $F$ to an element of $H_{1}$ or $R$; since $\bar{F}=\widehat{F}=\widehat{H_{1}} \sqcup \hat{R}$, it follows from Proposition $2 \cdot 2$ that no non-trivial element of $\hat{R}$ can be conjugate to an element of $\widehat{H_{1}}$ in $\widehat{F}$. Thus there is an element $g \in F$ with $g a^{n} g^{-1} \in H_{1}$. Write $a_{1}=g a g^{-1}$ and $\gamma_{1}=\gamma g^{-1}$. We have $a_{1}^{n} \in H_{1}$ and $\gamma_{1} a_{1}^{n} \gamma_{1}^{-1} \in \bar{H}_{1}$, and so $\bar{H}_{1} \cap \gamma_{1} \bar{H}_{1} \gamma_{1}^{-1}$ is non-trivial. It follows from Proposition $2 \cdot 2$ that $\gamma_{1} \in \bar{H}_{1}$, and since $\gamma_{1} a_{1} \gamma_{1}^{-1}=\gamma a \gamma^{-1} \in \bar{H}$ we have $a_{1}=g a g^{-1} \in \bar{H} \cap G=H$, as required.

## 3. Proof of Theorems $2(a), 2(b)$ and Theorem 3

We shall prove Theorems $2(a), 2(b)$ simultaneously and afterwards explain the modifications necessary for the proof of a result which implies Theorem 3. To simplify the exposition, in Theorem $2(b)$ we define $G_{1}, G_{2}$ and $H$ to be respectively $K$, the trivial subgroup, and the first associated subgroup. Thus $G$ is either (a) an amalgamated free product $G_{1} *_{H} G_{2}$ or (b) an HNN extension $G_{1} *_{f}\langle t\rangle$ with first associated subgroup $H$, and our hypotheses are as follows:
(i) the profinite topology on $G$ is efficient;
(ii) $G_{1}, G_{2}, H$ are finitely generated and virtually free;
(iii) $H \cap g H^{-1}$ is cyclic for all $g \in G \backslash G_{2}$ if $G$ is an amalgamated free product and for all $g \in G \backslash H$ if $G$ is an HNN extension;
(iv) there exist a conjugacy separable group $T$ and an epimorphism $\tau: G \rightarrow T$ such that $\left.\tau\right|_{G_{1}}$ is injective and $\tau\left(\left\{g \in G \mid g H g^{-1} \leqslant G_{1}\right\}\right)=T$.
Let $a, b \in G$, and assume that $\gamma a \gamma^{-1}=b$ for some $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$. Our aim is to show that $g a g^{-1}=b$ for some $g \in G$. Our strategy is to replace $a, b$ repeatedly by conjugates under $G$ until we can make effective use of hypothesis (iii) or hypothesis (iv).

Case 1. One of the elements $a, b$ is conjugate to an element of $G_{1}$ or $G_{2}$.

In this case, by Proposition $2 \cdot 1$, each of $a, b$ is conjugate to an element of $G_{1}$ or $G_{2}$, and so we may assume that $a, b \in G_{1} \cup G_{2}$. If $\gamma$ belongs to the closure in $\widehat{G}$ of the one of these subgroups which contains $a$, then the result follows from hypothesis (ii) and the conjugacy separability of $G_{1}, G_{2}$. Otherwise, by Proposition 2•2, we have $a \in \alpha \bar{H} \alpha^{-1}, b \in \beta \bar{H} \beta^{-1}$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \bar{G}_{1} \cup \bar{G}_{2}$. Then $a$ and $b$ are conjugate to elements of $H$ by Proposition $2 \cdot 5$, and so we may assume that $a, b \in H$. We can now use hypothesis (iv). The elements $a, b$ are conjugate in $\widehat{G}$, and so, applying the epimorphism from $\widehat{G}$ to $\widehat{T}$ induced by $\tau$, we see that $\tau(a), \tau(b)$ are conjugate in $\widehat{T}$. Since $T$ is conjugacy separable, it follows that $\tau(a), \tau(b)$ are conjugate in $T$, and that there is an element $z \in\left\{g \in G \mid g H g^{-1} \leqslant G_{1}\right\}$ such that $z a z^{-1}, b$ have the same image under $\tau$. However $z a z^{-1}, b \in G_{1}$, and since $\left.\tau\right|_{G_{1}}$ is injective we must have $z a z^{-1}=b$. This concludes the treatment of Case 1 .

Case 2. Neither of $a, b$ is conjugate to an element of $G_{1}$ or $G_{2}$.
In this case we shall study the actions of $G, \widehat{G}$ on the associated trees $S(G), S(\widehat{G})$.
Consider the standard tree $S(G)$ corresponding to the amalgamated free product $G=G_{1} *_{H} G_{2}$ (resp. the HNN extension $G=G_{1} *_{f}\langle t\rangle$ ) and the standard profinite tree $S(\widehat{G})$ corresponding to the profinite amalgamated product $\widehat{G}=\widehat{G}_{1} \sqcup_{\widehat{H}} \widehat{G}_{2}$ (resp. the profinite HNN extension $\left.\widehat{G}=\widehat{G_{1}} \sqcup_{\hat{f}} \overline{\langle t\rangle}\right)$. From (i), the natural map from $S(G)$ to $S(\widehat{G})$ is an embedding and we shall regard this as inclusion. The hypothesis of Case 2 implies that $a, b$ act freely on $S(G)$. Thus we have $m_{a}, m_{b}>0$, where

$$
m_{a}=\min \{l(v, a v) \mid v \in V(S(G))\}, \quad m_{b}=\min \{l(v, b v) \mid v \in V(S(G))\}
$$

and where $l(u, v)$ denotes the distance between two vertices $u, v$ in $S(G)$. Write

$$
V_{a}=\left\{v \in V(S(G)) \mid l(v, a v)=m_{a}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad V_{b}=\left\{v \in V(S(G)) \mid l(v, b v)=m_{b}\right\} .
$$

By a theorem of Tits (cf. [21], proposition 24), there are doubly infinite paths $T_{a}, T_{b}$ in $S(G)$ having vertex sets $V_{a}, V_{b}$ respectively, and moreover $a, b$ act freely on $T_{a}, T_{b}$ as translations of lengths $m_{a}, m_{b}$, respectively. Let $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ be finite paths in $T_{a}$ and $T_{b}$ of lengths $m_{a}$ and $m_{b}$, respectively. Then $T_{a}=\langle a\rangle T_{1}$ and $T_{b}=\langle b\rangle T_{2}$.

Write $e$ for the edge in $S(G)$ whose stabilizer in $G$ is $H$ (so that $e$ is, in fact, $H$ regarded as a coset of $H$ in $G$ ). First we claim that one may assume that $e \in T_{1}$. To see this, consider $g_{1} \in G$ such that $e \in g_{1} T_{1}$ and set $a^{\prime}=g_{1} a g_{1}^{-1}$. Then $a^{\prime}$ is not conjugate to an element of $G_{1}$ or $G_{2}$, and there is a straight line $T_{a^{\prime}}=g_{1} T_{a}$ corresponding to $a^{\prime}$. Define $T_{1}^{\prime}=g_{1} T_{1}$. Then clearly $T_{a^{\prime}}=\left\langle a^{\prime}\right\rangle T_{1}^{\prime}$. Since $a$ and $b$ are conjugate if and only if $a^{\prime}$ and $b$ are conjugate, the claim follows.

Consider the profinite subgraphs of $S(\widehat{G})$ defined by $\bar{T}_{a}=\overline{\langle a\rangle} T_{1}$ and $\bar{T}_{b}=\overline{\langle b\rangle} T_{2}$. By proposition $2 \cdot 9$ in [19], the subgroups $\overline{\langle a\rangle}$ and $\overline{\langle b\rangle}$ act freely on $\bar{T}_{a}$ and $\bar{T}_{b}$, respectively. Since $\gamma a \gamma^{-1}=b$, the element $b$ also acts freely on $\gamma \bar{T}_{a}$. By lemma $2 \cdot 2$ (ii) in [19], we have $\gamma \bar{T}_{a}=\bar{T}_{b}$, and so $\gamma e \in \bar{T}_{b}$. Choose $b^{\prime} \in \overline{\langle b\rangle}$ such that $b^{\prime} \gamma e \in T_{2}$. Then $b^{\prime} \gamma e=g e$ for some $g \in G$, and hence $b^{\prime} \gamma=g \delta$ for some $\delta \in \bar{H}$. Now $a=\gamma^{-1} b^{-1} b b^{\prime} \gamma=\delta^{-1} g^{-1} b g \delta$. Therefore, replacing $b$ by $g^{-1} b g$ and $\gamma$ by $\delta$ we can assume that $\gamma$ is in $\bar{H}$.

We need to arrange that $\gamma$ fixes longer paths in $T_{a}$ than the path whose only edge is $e$. Suppose that $P$ is a finite path in $T_{a}$ which has $e$ as one of its edges and such that $\gamma \in \bar{L}$, where $L$ is the intersection of the stabilizers in $G$ of the edges of $P$ :
we shall show that $\gamma$ can be replaced by an element which lies in the closure of the intersection of the edge stabilizers of a path strictly containing $P$.

Let $e_{1}$ be an edge of $T_{a} \backslash P$ connected to $P$, write $v$ for the common vertex of $e_{1}$ and $P$, and write $P_{+}$for the path with edges those of $P$ together with $e_{1}$. Let $e_{2}=\gamma e_{1} \in \bar{T}_{b}$. First we note that $e_{2} \in T_{b}$. Indeed, let $e^{\prime}$ be an edge in $T_{b}$. There is a path in $S(G)$ connecting $e^{\prime}$ to $e_{1}$, and so since $e_{1}, e_{2}$ share a vertex there is a path connecting $e^{\prime}$ to $e_{2}$. However if $f_{1}, f_{2}$ are edges of a profinite tree then there is a unique smallest profinite subtree containing $f_{1}, f_{2}$, from [23], paragraph 1.19, and so since $e_{2}=\gamma e_{1} \in \gamma \overline{T_{a}}=\overline{T_{b}}$ and $e^{\prime} \in \overline{T_{b}}$, it follows that the shortest path connecting $e^{\prime}$ and $e_{2}$ lies in $\overline{T_{b}}$. The connected component of $\bar{T}_{b}$ containing $e^{\prime}$ is precisely $T_{b}$ (by [17], lemma $4 \cdot 3$ (iii) ), and so we conclude that $e_{2} \in T_{b}$. Now since $v$ is a common vertex of $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$, we have $g e_{1}=e_{2}$ for some $g$ in the stabilizer $G_{v}$ of $v$ in $G$. If $x$ is a vertex or edge in $S(G)$ then its stabilizer $G_{x}$ in $G$ is conjugate to $G_{1}, G_{2}$ or $H$, and so $G_{x}$ is finitely generated and $\bar{G}_{x}$ is the stabilizer of $x$ in $\widehat{G}$. Thus since $e_{1}=g^{-1} e_{2}=\gamma^{-1} e_{2}$ the element $\gamma_{1}=\gamma g^{-1}$ is in $\bar{G}_{e_{2}}$. Moreover both $L$ and $G_{e_{2}}$ are finitely generated (the former by Howson's theorem [8]), and since they are both subgroups of the virtually free group $G_{v}$ we have $\overline{G_{e_{2}} L} \cap G_{v}=G_{e_{2}} L$ from Proposition $2 \cdot 3(e)$. Therefore because $g=\gamma_{1}^{-1} \gamma$ we can find $h_{1} \in L, h_{2} \in G_{e_{2}}$ with $g=h_{2} h_{1}$. Set $\gamma_{+}=h_{1}^{-1} \gamma$. Thus

$$
\gamma_{+} e_{1}=h_{1}^{-1} e_{2}=g^{-1} h_{2} e_{2}=g^{-1} e_{2}=e_{1},
$$

and so $\gamma_{+} \in \bar{G}_{e_{1}}$. We also have $\gamma_{+} \in \bar{L}$. Both $L$ and $G_{e_{1}}$ are finitely generated subgroups of the virtually free group $G_{v}$ and it follows from Proposition $2 \cdot 4$ that $\gamma_{+}$ is in the closure of the intersection of the edge stabilizers of the path $P_{+}$. We may therefore replace $\gamma$ by $\gamma_{+}$and $b$ by $h_{1}^{-1} b h_{1}$ and so assume that $\gamma$ is in the closure of the intersection of the edge stabilizers of $P_{+}$.

Let $f$ be an edge in $T_{a}$ having a vertex in common with $e$; in the case when $G$ is an amalgamated free product, we choose $f$ so that this common vertex is the coset $G_{1}$. From above, we can assume that there is a finite path $P$ whose edges include $e, f, a e, a f$ such that $\gamma \in \bar{L}$, where $L$ is the intersection of the stabilizers of the edges of $P$. Write $D=H \cap G_{f}$; thus $L \leqslant D \cap a D a^{-1}$ and $D$ is a cyclic group by (iii).

Our next claim is that $a$ normalizes $L$. Let $N$ be a normal subgroup of finite index in $G$ and consider the quotient group $G / N$. The subgroup $L N / N$ has the same index, $m$, say, in both $D N / N,\left(a D a^{-1}\right) N / N$, since these subgroups are conjugate. Thus if $d N$ generates $D N / N$, then $(d N)^{m}$ and $(a N)(d N)^{m}(a N)^{-1}$ both generate $L N / N$, and we conclude that $L N$ is normalized by $a$. However, since $L$ is closed in $H$ by Proposition $2 \cdot 3(b)$, and hence closed in $G$, the subgroup $L$ is the intersection of all such subgroups $L N$ and so it is normalized by $a$.
Let $h$ be a generator of $L$ and write $E=\langle h, a\rangle$. If $h$ either has finite order or centralizes $a$ then clearly the conjugacy class of $a$ in $E$ is finite and hence closed in $G$. If $h$ has infinite order and does not centralize $a$ then we have $a h a^{-1}=h^{-1}$; in this case $\left\langle h^{2}\right\rangle$ is closed in $H$ by Proposition $2.3(b)$ and hence closed in $G$, so that the conjugacy class

$$
\left\{k a k^{-1} \mid k \in\langle h\rangle\right\}=\left\{k a k^{-1} a^{-1} \mid k \in\langle h\rangle\right\} a=\left\langle h^{2}\right\rangle a
$$

of $a$ in $E$ is closed in $G$.
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Now $a \in E$ and $\gamma \in \bar{L} \leqslant \bar{E}$, so that $b=\gamma a \gamma^{-1} \in \bar{E}$. Moreover $a, b$ are congruent modulo the closed normal subgroup of $\bar{E}$ generated by $\gamma$. It follows that

$$
a b^{-1} \in \bar{L} \cap G=\bar{L} \cap(\bar{H} \cap G)=\bar{L} \cap H,
$$

and so $a b^{-1} \in L$ by Proposition $2 \cdot 3(b)$. Therefore $a, b$ are elements of $E$ conjugate in $\bar{E}$, and since the conjugacy classes of $E$ are closed it follows that $a, b$ are conjugate in $E$. This completes the proof of Theorems $2(a), 2(b)$.

Now we turn to Theorem 3. We shall show that the following somewhat stronger theorem holds:

Theorem $3^{\prime}$
Let $G=K *_{f}\langle t\rangle$ be an HNN extension with cyclic associated subgroups $H, H_{1}$ such that the profinite topology on $G$ is efficient. Suppose that $K$ satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $K$ is conjugacy separable;
(ii) for any pair $A, B$ of cyclic subgroups of $K$, the set $A B$ is closed in $K$;
(iii) for any pair $A, B$ of cyclic subgroups of $K$, the subgroups $\overline{A \cap B}$ and $\bar{A} \cap \bar{B}$ are equal;
(iv) every cyclic subgroup of $K$ is conjugacy distinguished.

Then $G$ is conjugacy separable.
To see that Theorem 3 follows from this we need to explain why finitely generated virtually free groups and virtually polycyclic groups have the properties required of $K$ above. The required properties of virtually free groups were given in Section 2. The conjugacy separability of virtually polycyclic groups was established by Remeslennikov [16] and Formanek [5], and property (ii) for virtually polycyclic groups $K$ follows from a result of Lennox and Wilson [11]. Properties (iii) and (iv) are shown to hold for virtually polycyclic groups in [17].

## Proof of Theorem $3^{\prime}$.

Let $H$ be the first associated subgroup of $G$. If $H$ is finite, the result holds from the theorem of Dyer [3], and so we shall assume that $H$ is infinite. Let $a, b$ be elements of $G$ which are conjugate in $\widehat{G}$. The proof that $a, b$ are conjugate in $G$ divides into two cases, Case 1 and Case 2, just as in the proof of Theorem 2 (b). In Case 2, we assume that neither $a$ nor $b$ is conjugate to an element of $K$. The proof proceeds exactly as for Theorem $2(b)$; the two references to Proposition 2.3 are replaced by references to hypothesis (ii), and hypothesis (iii) is used instead of Proposition 2.4. In Case 1, $a, b$ are conjugate to elements of $K$, and the first part of the argument given for this case in Theorem $2(b)$ shows that $a, b$ may be assumed to lie in $H$.
Write $A=\langle a\rangle$ and $B=\langle b\rangle$. If $N$ is a normal subgroup of finite index in $G$ then $A N / N$ and $B N / N$ are subgroups of equal order in the cyclic group $H N / N$, and therefore $A N=B N$. Since $A, B$ are closed in $G$ it follows that $A=B$ and that $b=a$ or $b=a^{-1}$. Suppose therefore that $b=a^{-1}$. Thus if $\gamma$ is an element of $\widehat{G}$ such that $\gamma a \gamma^{-1}=a^{-1}$, then $\gamma \in N_{\widehat{G}}(\bar{A})$. If $N_{\widehat{G}}(\bar{A})=N_{\bar{K}}(\bar{A})$, then the conjugacy separability of $K$ implies that $a, a^{-1}$ are conjugate in $K$. Assume then that $N_{\widehat{G}}(\bar{A}) \neq N_{\bar{K}}(\bar{A})$. It follows from Proposition 2.5 in [19] that $N_{\widehat{G}}(\bar{A})=N_{\bar{K}}(\bar{A}) \sqcup_{\bar{f}} \overline{\langle t\rangle}$, where $\bar{f}$ is the isomorphism of closures induced by $f$; in particular, $N_{\widehat{G}}(\bar{A})$ is generated as a profinite group by $N_{\bar{K}}(\bar{A})$ and $t$. Since the result is clear if $\operatorname{tat}^{-1}=a^{-1}$ we may assume that
$t$ centralizes $a$. However this implies that $N_{\widehat{G}}(\bar{A})=N_{\bar{K}}(\bar{A}) C_{\widehat{G}}(\bar{A})$, so that there is an element $\gamma_{1} \in N_{\bar{K}}(\bar{A})$ with $\gamma_{1} a \gamma_{1}^{-1}=a^{-1}$. Because $K$ is conjugacy separable we conclude that $s a s^{-1}=a^{-1}$ for some $s \in K$, and the proof of Theorem $3^{\prime}$ is complete.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section it remains to show that the hypotheses of Theorem $2(a)$ and Theorem $2(b)$ are satisfied by the Bianchi groups $\operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ with $d=1,2,7,11$. The information we require is contained in the following three lemmas.

Lemma 4•1. Let $m$ be a square-free integer with $m \neq 0$, 1 , let $u^{2}=m$, and let $R$ be the ring of algebraic integers of $\mathbb{Q}(u)$. Let $\Gamma=\mathrm{SL}_{2}(R)$ and let $M$ be the image of $\operatorname{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ in $\Gamma$. Then
(a) $M$ is closed in the profinite topology on $\Gamma$;
(b) $N_{\Gamma}(M) / M$ has order 2 if $u^{2}=-1$ and is trivial otherwise;
(c) if $g \in \Gamma \backslash N_{\Gamma}(M)$ then $M \cap M^{g}$ is cyclic.

Proof. (a) The centre of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(R)$ consists of the two matrices $\pm 1$ and coincides with the centre of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Therefore it is sufficient to show that $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is closed in $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(R)$. Let $R$ be generated as a ring by $\theta$, and for each integer $n>0$ let $R_{n}$ be the subring generated by $n \theta$. The group $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(R_{n}\right)$ has finite index in $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(R)$ since it contains the kernel of the natural map from $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(R)$ to $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(R / n R)$. Clearly $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})=\bigcap \mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(R_{n}\right)$, and (a) follows.
$(b),(c)$ Since $M$ is a free product of a group of order 2 and a group of order 3 , the subgroup theorem for free products implies that the centralizer of each nontrivial element of $M$ is cyclic, and, in particular, that each abelian subgroup of $M$ is cyclic. Since the kernel of the map from $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ to $M$ has order 2 , torsion-free abelian subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ are also cyclic. We note that if $l$ is a $2 \times 2$ matrix over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $l^{2}=0$ and if there exists a matrix $k \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $l k=-k l$ then $l=0$. For otherwise, conjugating $l, k$ by a suitable element of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Q})$, we may assume that

$$
l=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Write

$$
k=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)
$$

Multiplying and equating coefficients, we find that $c=0$ and $a=-d$. But then $1=\operatorname{det}(k)=-a^{2}$, and we have a contradiction.

Write $G=\mathrm{SL}_{2}(R)$, let $H$ be the preimage of $M$ in $G$, and let $A$ be the ring of $2 \times 2$ matrices over $\mathbb{Q}$. Choose $g \in G$, and set $S=A \cap g A g^{-1}$; this is a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra of dimension at most 4 containing $H \cap g H g^{-1}$. First suppose that $\operatorname{dim} S \leqslant 3$. If $S$ is semisimple, it must be a direct sum of fields, by the Wedderburn-Artin Theorem (see [9], p. 41) so that $S$ is commutative and $H \bigcap g H^{-1}$ is abelian. It follows that the image of $H \cap g \mathrm{Hg}^{-1}$ in $\Gamma$ is abelian, and hence cyclic. If $S$ is not semisimple, it has an ideal $I \neq 0$ with $I^{2}=0$. Then $(1+I) \cap H$ is a non-trivial free abelian group normalized by $H \cap g H g^{-1}$, and so is cyclic; let $h=1+l$ be a generator. The centralizer of $h$ in $H \cap g H^{-1}$ has index at most 2. However if $k \in H \cap g H g^{-1}$ and $k$ does not centralize $h$ then we have

$$
k h k^{-1}=k(1+l) k^{-1}=(1+l)^{-1}=1-l
$$

and hence $l k=-k l$, and we have a contradiction from the above paragraph. Therefore $H \cap g H^{-1}$ centralizes $h$, and so its image in $M$ centralizes the image of $h$. We conclude that the image of $H \cap g H g^{-1}$ is cyclic.

If $\operatorname{dim}(S)=4$ then $A=g A g^{-1}$, and so to establish $(c)$ it is now sufficient to prove that if $A=g A g^{-1}$ then $g$ normalizes $H$. We shall do this and prove ( $b$ ) simultaneously. Let $g$ be an element of $G$ which either satisfies the condition $A=g A g^{-1}$ or normalizes $H$, and write

$$
g=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)
$$

The following matrices are in $A$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
d & -b \\
-c & a
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-a c & a^{2} \\
-c^{2} & a c
\end{array}\right), \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
d & -b \\
-c & a
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
c d & d^{2} \\
-c^{2} & c d
\end{array}\right), \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
d & -b \\
-c & a
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b d & -b^{2} \\
d^{2} & -b d
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}, d^{2}, a c, c d, b d \in \mathbb{Q}$. If $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ we conclude that $a, b, c, d \in$ $\mathbb{Q} \cap R=\mathbb{Z}$ so that $g \in H$. If $a \notin \mathbb{Q}$ then since $a^{2} \in \mathbb{Q}$ we must have $a=a^{\prime} u$ with $a^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Q}$, and hence all of $a^{\prime}=a u^{-1}, b^{\prime}=b u^{-1}, c^{\prime}=c u^{-1}, d^{\prime}=d u^{-1}$ are in $\mathbb{Q}$. Since $a^{2}=a^{\prime 2} u^{2} \in \mathbb{Q} \cap R=\mathbb{Z}$, and since $u^{2}$ is square-free in $\mathbb{Z}$, $a^{\prime}$ has denominator 1 . Arguing similarly for $b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}$ we see that the entries of the matrix

$$
g^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a^{\prime} & b^{\prime} \\
c^{\prime} & d^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

are in $\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $1=\operatorname{det} g=\operatorname{det} u g^{\prime}=u^{2} \operatorname{det} g^{\prime}$. Thus $g$ can only fail to be in $H$ when $u^{2}=-1$, and in this case we have $g=i g^{\prime}$ with $g^{\prime} \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, so that $g \in N_{G}(H)$. The quotient of two such matrices $g_{1}, g_{2} \in N_{G}(H) \backslash H$ clearly lies in $H$, so that if $u^{2}=-1$ then both $N_{G}(H) / H$ and $N_{\Gamma}(M) / M$ have order 2 . This completes the proof of the lemma.

We now restrict attention to the Bianchi groups occurring in Theorem 1. We begin by studying the group $\Gamma_{1}=\operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right)$.

Lemma 4.2. There exist subgroups $G_{1}, G_{2}$ of $\Gamma_{1}$, containing $M$, with the following properties:
(i) $\Gamma_{1}$ is isomorphic to the amalgamated free product $G_{1} *_{M} G_{2}$ and $\left|G_{2}: M\right|=2$;
(ii) $G_{1}, G_{2}$ are virtually free;
(iii) there exist an involution $u \in G_{2} \backslash M$ and an automorphism $\phi$ of order 2 of $G_{1}$ such that $\phi(x)=u x u^{-1}$ for all $x \in M$;
(iv) there is a surjective homomorphism $\tau$ from $\Gamma_{1}$ to the semidirect product of $G_{1}$ by $\langle\phi\rangle$ such that $\left.\tau\right|_{G_{1}}$ is the identity map;
(v) $G_{1}, G_{2}, M$ are closed in $\Gamma_{1}$ with respect to the profinite topology, and the profinite topology on $\Gamma_{1}$ induces the profinite topology on each of the subgroups $G_{1}, G_{2}, M$.
Proof. A description of $\Gamma_{1}$ as an amalgamated free product is given in Fine [4], pp. 83-85. It is shown that there are generators $s, a, u, v$ of $\Gamma_{1}$ such that the group
$G_{1}$ generated by $s, a, v$ has the presentation

$$
\left\langle s, a, v \mid v^{3}=s^{3}=a^{2}=(v s)^{2}=(v a)^{2}=1\right\rangle,
$$

such that the group $G_{2}$ generated by $s, a, u$ has the presentation

$$
\left\langle s, a, u \mid u^{2}=s^{3}=a^{2}=(u a)^{2}=(u s)^{2}=1\right\rangle
$$

and such that $G_{1} \cap G_{2}=M=\langle s, a\rangle$ and the natural map from $G_{1} *_{M} G_{2}$ to $\Gamma_{1}$ is an isomorphism. It follows from the presentation of $G_{2}$ that $u$ normalizes $M$, so that $\left|G_{2}: M\right|=2\left(\right.$ and hence $G_{2}=N_{\Gamma_{1}}(M)$ by Lemma 4.1) and $G_{2}$ is virtually free. The subgroup $A$ of $G_{1}$ generated by $v, s$ has presentation

$$
\left\langle v, s \mid v^{3}=s^{3}=(v s)^{2}=1\right\rangle
$$

and is isomorphic to the alternating group $A_{4}$, and the subgroup $B$ generated by $v, a$ has presentation

$$
\left\langle v, a \mid v^{3}=a^{2}=(v a)^{2}=1\right\rangle
$$

and is isomorphic to the symmetric group $\Sigma_{3}$; and the natural map from $A *\langle v\rangle B$ to $G_{1}$ is an isomorphism. Therefore, being isomorphic to an amalgamated free product with finite free factors, $G_{1}$ is virtually free (see [21], proposition 11 on p. 120). Now if $P_{1}, P_{2}$ are distinct Sylow 3 -subgroups of $\Sigma_{4}$, there is a transposition in $\Sigma_{4}$ which acts as inversion on both $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$. Hence there is an automorphism of $A$ which maps $v, s$ to their inverses, and this extends to an automorphism $\phi$ of $G_{1}$ fixing $a$. Clearly $\phi$ has order 2 and $\phi(x)=u x u^{-1}$ for all $x \in M$. Thus (iii) holds. The map $\psi$ defined by $x u^{\epsilon} \mapsto x \phi^{\epsilon}$ for $x \in M, \epsilon=0,1$ is an isomorphism from $G_{2}$ to $M\langle\phi\rangle$ which fixes $M$ pointwise, and thus there is a homomorphism $\tau$ from $\Gamma_{1}$ which agrees with $\psi$ on $G_{2}$ and with the identity map on $G_{1}$.

Let $N$ be a subgroup of $G_{1}$ of finite index, and let $N_{1}$ be the preimage of $N$ under the map $\tau$. Thus $N_{1}$ is a subgroup of $\Gamma_{1}$ of finite index, and we have $N_{1} \cap G_{1}=N$ since $\tau_{G_{1}}=\mathrm{id}$. It follows that the profinite topology on $\Gamma_{1}$ induces the profinite topology on $G_{1}$, and a similar argument shows that it induces the profinite topology on $G_{2}$. Since $\left|G_{2}: M\right|=2$, it also follows that the profinite topology is induced in $M$. The fact that $M$ is closed was proved in Lemma $4 \cdot 1$, and it follows that $G_{2}$ is closed. Finally, let $L$ be the preimage of $G_{1}$ under $\tau$; thus $\left|\Gamma_{1}: L\right|=2$. Consider the homomorphism $\tau_{1}: L \rightarrow L$ defined by $x \mapsto \tau(x)$. The set of elements $x \in L$ whose images under $\tau_{1}$ and the identity map on $L$ coincide is $G_{1}$, and since these two maps are continuous it follows that $G_{1}$ is closed.

Lemma 4.3. Let $d=2,7$ or 11 and write $\Gamma_{d}=\operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$. There exist a subgroup $K_{d}$ of $\Gamma_{d}$, containing $M$, and an element $u \in \Gamma_{d}$, with the following properties:
(i) $\Gamma_{d}$ is isomorphic to an HNN extension $K_{d} *_{f}\langle u\rangle$ with first associated subgroup $M$;
(ii) $K_{d}$ is virtually free;
(iii) there is an automorphism $\psi$ of $K_{d}$ of order 2 such that $\psi(x)=u x u^{-1}$ for all $x \in M$;
(iv) there is a surjective homomorphism $\tau$ from $\Gamma_{d}$ to the semidirect product of $K_{d}$ by $\langle\psi\rangle$ such that $\left.\tau\right|_{K_{d}}$ is the identity map;
(v) $K_{d}$ is closed in $\Gamma_{d}$ with respect to the profinite topology on $\Gamma_{d}$, and the profinite topology on $\Gamma_{d}$ induces the profinite topology on $K_{d}$ and $M$.

Proof. We begin with assertions (i) and (iii), and treat the three cases $d=2,7,11$ separately. Assertion (i) may be found in Fine [4], pp. 87-89; a complete proof was given for the case $d=2$ and the details for $d=7$, 11 were left for the reader. Assertion (iii) for $d=2$ was given in Zalesskii [22]. We shall need to fill in some of the details in Fine's arguments since they are required for the proof of (iii). In all cases, $K_{d}$ will be an amalgamated free product of finite groups and therefore it is virtually free.

First suppose that $d=2$. Then $\Gamma_{2}$ has a presentation

$$
\Gamma_{2}=\left\langle a, h, z, v \mid a^{2}=(a h)^{3}=v^{2}=(a v)^{2}=(h v)^{3}=1, z^{-1} h z=h, z^{-1} a z=v\right\rangle
$$

such that $a, h$ are generators of $M$ (see Fine [4], p. 88). Let $K_{2}$ be the subgroup generated by $a, h, v$; thus $K_{2}$ has the presentation

$$
K_{2}=\left\langle a, h, v \mid a^{2}=v^{2}=(a v)^{2}=(a h)^{3}=(h v)^{3}=1\right\rangle
$$

Set $u=z^{-1}$ and write $f$ for the isomorphism with domain $M$ defined by $a \mapsto v, h \mapsto h$. Thus $f(x)=u x u^{-1}$ for all $x \in M$, and the homomorphism from $K_{2} *_{f}\langle t\rangle$ to $\Gamma_{2}$ which is the identity on $K_{2}$ and maps $t$ to $u$ is an isomorphism. It is shown in [4] (loc. cit.) that $K_{2}$ is a free product of the alternating group $A_{4}$ and a non-cyclic group of order 4 , with a subgroup of order 2 amalgamated. From the symmetry of the above presentation there is an automorphism $\phi$ of $K_{2}$ which fixes $h$ and exchanges $a, v$; and this clearly has order 2 and satisfies $\phi(x)=f(x)$ for all $x \in M$.

Next suppose that $d=7$. Then $\Gamma_{7}$ has a presentation

$$
\Gamma_{7}=\left\langle a, v, s, m, w \mid a^{2}=v^{3}=(a v)^{2}=1, a v=m s, w^{-1} a w=m, w^{-1} s w=v\right\rangle
$$

such that $a, s$ are generators of $M$ (see Fine [4], p. 86). Let $K_{7}$ be the subgroup generated by $a, v, s, m$; thus $K_{7}$ has the presentation

$$
K_{7}=\left\langle a, v, s, m \mid a^{2}=v^{3}=(a v)^{2}=1, a v=m s, m^{2}=s^{3}=1\right\rangle .
$$

Set $u=w^{-1}$ and write $f$ for the isomorphism with domain $M$ defined by $a \mapsto$ $m, s \mapsto v$. Thus $f(x)=u x u^{-1}$ for all $x \in M$, and the homomorphism from $K_{7} *_{f}\langle t\rangle$ to $\Gamma_{7}$ which is the identity on $K_{7}$ and maps $t$ to $u$ is an isomorphism. From the above presentation of $K_{7}$ it follows that $K_{7}$ is the amalgamated free product of the subgroups $\left\langle a, v \mid a^{2}=v^{3}=(a v)^{2}=1\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle m, s \mid m^{2}=s^{3}=(m s)^{2}=1\right\rangle$, both of which are isomorphic to $\Sigma_{3}$, with the subgroups $\langle a v\rangle$ and $\langle m s\rangle$ amalgamated. It is clear that the map $a \mapsto m, m \mapsto a, v \mapsto s, s \mapsto v$ extends to an automorphism $\phi$ of $K_{7}$; evidently $\phi$ has order 2 and $\phi(x)=f(x)$ for all $x \in M$.

Finally, suppose that $d=11$. Then $\Gamma_{11}$ has the presentation

$$
\Gamma_{11}=\left\langle a, t, z \mid a^{2}=(a t)^{3}=\left(z^{-1} a z a t\right)^{3}=[t, z]=1\right\rangle
$$

where the elements $a, t$ generate $M$ (see Fine [4], p. 87). Letting $s=a t, w=a z, m=$ $w^{-1} a w, v=w^{-1} s w$ and applying Tietze transformations we obtain the presentation

$$
\Gamma_{11}=\left\langle a, s, v, m, w \mid a^{2}=s^{3}=(s m)^{3}=1, a v=s m, m=w^{-1} a w, v=w^{-1} s w\right\rangle .
$$

Let $K_{11}$ be the subgroup generated by $a, s, v, m$; thus

$$
K_{11}=\left\langle a, s, v, m \mid a^{2}=s^{3}=m^{2}=v^{3}=(s m)^{3}=1, a v=s m\right\rangle,
$$

so that $K_{11}$ is the amalgamated free product of the two groups

$$
\left\langle a, v \mid a^{2}=v^{3}=(a v)^{3}=1\right\rangle,\left\langle m, s \mid m^{2}=s^{3}=(m s)^{3}=1\right\rangle
$$

each isomorphic to the alternating group $A_{4}$, with a subgroup of order 3 amalgamated. Let $\phi$ be the map $s \mapsto v, a \mapsto v m v^{-1}, m \mapsto s^{-1} a s, v \mapsto s$. It is easy to check that $\phi(a) \phi(v)=\phi(s) \phi(m)$, and hence that $\phi$ extends to an automorphism of $K_{11}$. This automorphism has order 2 , and if we define $f: M \rightarrow \phi(M)$ by $f(x)=\phi(x)$ and set $u=v w^{-1}$ then we have $f(s)=u s u^{-1}, f(a)=u a u^{-1}$, and hence $f(x)=u x u^{-1}$ for all $x \in M$. It is clear that the map from $K_{11} *_{f}\langle t\rangle$ to $\Gamma_{11}$ which is the identity on $K_{11}$ and maps $t$ to $u$ is an isomorphism.

The proof of (iv) and (v) now follows easily. Because the automorphism $\phi$ of $K_{d}$ extends the map $f$ from $M$, there is a natural map from $K_{d} *_{f}\langle t\rangle$ to $K_{d} *_{\phi}\langle t\rangle$, and we let $\tau$ be the composition of this map with the map from the latter group to the split extension of $K_{d}$ by $\langle\phi\rangle$. Let $N$ be a subgroup of finite index in $K_{d}$, and let $N_{1}$ be the preimage in $\Gamma_{d}$ of $N$ under the map $\tau$; thus $N_{1}$ is a subgroup of finite index in $\Gamma_{d}$ and we have $N_{1} \cap K_{d}=N$ since $\left.\tau\right|_{K_{d}}=\mathrm{id}$. It follows that the profinite topology on $\Gamma_{d}$ induces the profinite topology on $K_{d}$; and since $K_{d}$ is virtually free, the profinite topology on $K_{d}$ induces the profinite topology on $M$ by Proposition $2 \cdot 3(c)$. Let $L$ be the inverse image of $K_{d}$ under the map $\tau$. Since $\left|\Gamma_{d}: L\right|=2$ and since $K_{d}$ is the set of elements $x \in L$ such that $\tau(x)=x$, it follows that $K_{d}$ is closed in $\Gamma_{d}$. This concludes the proof of Lemma $4 \cdot 3$.

Proof of Theorem 1. It is sufficient to check that the Bianchi groups $\operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ with $d=1,2,7,11$ satisfy the hypotheses of Theorems $2(a), 2(b)$, and this is now an easy matter. The only point which requires explanation is that the epimorphisms $\tau$ constructed in Lemmas $4 \cdot 2$ and $4 \cdot 3$ have the properties required in hypothesis (iv) of Theorems $2(a), 2(b)$. Let $G_{1}$ be as described in Lemma $4 \cdot 2$ if $d=1$ and write $G_{1}=K_{d}$ if $d=2,7$ or 11 . In each case, the image $T$ of the $\operatorname{map} \tau$ has $G_{1}$ as a subgroup of index 2 ; since $G_{1}$ is virtually free, so is $T$, and hence $T$ is conjugacy separable. Since $\left.\tau\right|_{G_{1}}=\mathrm{id}$, the map $\left.\tau\right|_{G_{1}}$ is certainly injective. The set $S=\left\{g \in G \mid g H g^{-1} \leqslant G_{1}\right\}$ is clearly a union of cosets $G_{1} g$; it contains $G_{1}$ and $u$, and hence

$$
\tau(S) \supseteq \tau\left(G_{1}\right) \cup \tau\left(G_{1} u\right)=G_{1} \cup G_{1} \tau(u)
$$

Since $\tau(u) \notin G_{1}$ and $\left|T: G_{1}\right|=2$ it follows that $\tau(S)=T$. Therefore the hypotheses of Theorems $2(a), 2(b)$ hold, and the Bianchi groups $\operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ with $d=1,2,7,11$ are conjugacy separable.
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